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ABSTRACT

A dynamic ebulliometer has been used to measure vapour pressures of 2-propanol,2,2,4-trimethylpentane, n-pentane, methanol, ethanol, acetone and benzene from approximately 26 to 78 kPa . The ebulliometer is similar to one reported in the literature although with modifications which make it original together with the auxiliary equipment and measuring technique. The values obtained in this work to test the ebulliometer are compared with data previously reported by other workers and the overall agreement is good. The experimental results were fitted to the Antoine equation and from it derived quantities were obtained for each of the seven substances studied.

## RESUMEN

Un ebulliómetro dinámico ha sido utilizado para medir presiones de vapor de iso-propanol, 2,2,4-trimetilpentano, n-pentano, metanol, etanol, acetona y benceno desde 26 a 78 kPa . El ebulliómetro está basado en uno reportado en la literatura aunque incluye modificaciones que lo hacen original junto con el equipo auxiliar y la técnica de medición. Los vapo res obtenidos en este trabajo se comparan con datos previamente reporta dos por otros grupos de investigación y la comparación es satisfactoria. Los resultados experimentales fueron ajustados a la ecuación de Antoine y a partir de ella se derivaron otras propiedades para cada una de las siete sustancias estudiadas.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The knowledge of the thermodynamic properties of pure substances and mixtures is of great importance for the simulation, design and operation of many industrial processes. Further more, from a theoretical point of view those properties are used to test models of solutions and to give information on the molecular interactions.

TABLE I

| Substance | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | (293.2 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ) | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\left(298.2^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | This work | Literature ${ }^{(1)}$ | This work | Literature ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| 2 - propanol | 1.3778 | 1.3772 | 1.3754 | 1.3753 |
| 2,2,4-trimethylpentane | 1.3916 | 1.3915 | 1.3894 | 1.3890 |
| n - pentane | 1.3580 | 1.3575 | 1.3560 | 1.3547 |
| methanol | 1.3292 | 1.3286 | 1.3270 | 1.3266 |
| ethanol | 1.3619 | 1.3614 | 1.3602 | 1.3594 |
| acetone | 1.3595 | $1.3588{ }^{(2)}$ | 1.3571 | $1.3570^{(2)}$ |
| benzene | 10 5140 | 1.5011 | 1.4987 | 1.4979 |

Table I. Refractive index at 293.2 and $2.98 .2^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.

One of the thermodynamic properties of pure or single - component liquids that has been widely studied is the vapour pressure ( $p$ ) as a function of temperature (T). Thus, we report a modified all-glass dynamic ebulliometer which has been tested measuring the vapour pressure of seven pure substances as a function of temperature. The approximate ranges of p for each substance in kPa ( 1 atmosphere $=101.325 \mathrm{kPa}=760 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) are: 2-propanol 26 to $78,2,2,4$-trimethylpentane 19 to 78 , n-pentane 26 to 124 , methanol 26 to 78 , ethanol 11 to 78 , acetone 26 to 78 and benzene 16 to 78. Values obtained elswhere of the refractive indexes of these substances are presented in Table I.

## 2. APPARATUS

A circulating or dynamic ebulliometer similar to that described by Rogalski and co-workers ${ }^{(3,4)}$ was used. Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of the ebulliometer. The main modification present in our ebulliometer is in the measurement of the equilibrium temperature between the vapour and liquid phases having the thermometer sealed in the ebulliometer with a threaded glass joint. This allows direct contact between the thermometer and the two phases thus the response is faster than using thermowells.

The working principle of the ebulliometer is now described. A stream of overheated liquid and vapour is continuosly generated with the aid of an electrical heater and pumped by the Cottrell pump A (see Fig. 1) towards the equilibrium chamber $C$ where the thermometer is sealed with the joint D. A heating tape wound externally around the arm B prevents partial condensation of the vapour before reaching the equilibrium chamber. As part of the measuring technique the steady state corresponding to the thermodynamic equilibrium has to be established to carry out measurements of $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{T}$ and this was done in the present work by setting a value of drops between 70 to 90 as counted in the drop counter F. Finally the equilibrium temperature is determined in C as explained above from the contact between the thermometer and the 1iquid and vapour phases.

Mixing of the liquid phase from $C$ and the condensed vapour phase from the condenser $E$ is achieved in the devices $I$ and $J$ before the


Fig. 1. The dynamic ebulliometer (see the text for description of the different parts).
liquid sample is again heated in A and the cycle started. The apparatus described here is also useful for the determination of the vapour-1iquid equilibrium of mixtures $(3,4,5)$ since both the liquid and vapour phases may be sampled to determine their composition through H and F , respectively.

## 3. AUXILIARY EQUIPMENT

The measurement of pressure was carried out with a mercury manometer used in the absolute fashion, i.e., the reference limb was continuosly evacuated. The height of the mercury column was measured with a Gaertner cathetometer (model M-912) with a precision of $\pm 0.01 \mathrm{~mm}$. This type of manometer is well suited for pressure measurement up to approximately 130 kPa as discussed by Ambrose ${ }^{(6)}$. A pennwalt manostat was used to control the pressure in the ebulliometer through a surge system composed amongst other things of two glass spheres of 201 each thermally insulated. This surge system was connected to the ebulliometer and to the mercury manometer through a liquid nitrogen trap and connected to the pressure-vacuum system through the manostat. A direct-drive vacuum pump was used for measurements of vapour pressures below the atmospheric value whereas dry nitrogen was used as the pressurizing gas for pressures above the latter.

The measurement of equilibrium temperatures between the liquid and vapour in the equilibrium chamber was carried out with a Quartz Thermometer (Hewlett-Packard mode1 2804 A) with an absolute accuracy of $\pm 0.04^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ as reported by the makers for the temperature range studied in this work.

## 4. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE

The pure liquid to be studied was placed in the ebulliometer through the teflon valve G (see Fig. 1) until the liquid level was slightly above the mixing chamber I. After the cycle boiling-condensation-mixing-boiling has been established in the ebulliometer the stationary state has to be defined in terms of the pressure, temperature and amount of drops of condensate flowing through the drop counter F since these three quantities should be independent of time. According to the phase rule there exists only one degree of freedom for a system of one component and two phases, thus we decided to control the pressure within the ebulliometer in order to automatically define the thermodynamic state of the substance being studied, that is, the temperature is inmediately
obtained for a given constant pressure. We have already mentioned in a previous section the amount of drops that we used as a criterion to establish the stationary state.

Several corrections have to be applied to the directly measured height of the mercury column in the manometer to finally obtain a value for the vapour pressure. These corrections are discussed in detail elsewhere ${ }^{(6,7)}$, however, for completeness we give here the equation that was used to calculate values of vapour pressure from the manometric readings:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{mmHg}=0.9972 \Delta \mathrm{~h}\left(1-1.634 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{t}\right)+\mathrm{Po}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta \mathrm{h}$ is the difference in height of the mercury columns between the measuring and reference 1 imbs of the manometer, Po is the residual pressure in the reference 1 imb of the manometer and $t$ is the temperature of the manometer.

## 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimentally measured vapour pressures for each of the seven substances studied are 1isted in Tables II to VIII together with their residuals $p=\left(p_{\exp }-p_{c a l}\right)$ from the Antoine equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log _{10}(\rho / \mathrm{kPa})=A+B /\{(T / K)+C\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants of Eq. (2) were obtained from a least - square fit ad are given in Table IX.

The residuals in Table II to VIII correspond to temperature differences no greater than $\pm 0.02^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ indicating the goodness of the fit, furthermore the $\Delta \mathrm{p}$ values are randomly distributed.

Figures 2 to 8 show the pressure residuals $\Delta p$ based on Eq. (2). Also included on these figures are the limits of pressure changes corresponding to changes in the temperature of $\pm 0.02^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ and $\pm 0.04^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.

In order to compare with the present work we have used published values for each substance to calculate $\Delta p$ where now $p_{c a l}$ are the values from Eq. (2) using the constants in Table IX and $p_{\exp }$ are the literature
values. These residuals are also plotted in Figs. 2 to 8, from which it is observed that there is good agreement for each substance with the literature values. From this comparison is possible to give an accuracy for our measurements of $\pm 0.05^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, which is in fact the largest deviation observed in each case and very close to the accuracy of the thermometer.

A further test on the quality of the results of this work and of the equation fitted to them may be carried out by calculating derived quantities such as the normal boiling temperature $T_{b}$, the slope of the saturation curve $\mathrm{dp} / \mathrm{dt}$ and molar heats of vaporization $\Delta H$. The normal boiling temperature obtained in this work for each substance is and extrapolation since the experimental values, with exception of n-pentane, were obtained below 101.325 kPa . Table X compares calculated boiling temperatures and $\mathrm{dp} / \mathrm{dt}$ with literature values and the agreement is excellent in all cases. Differentiation of the Antoine equation together with the Clapeyron equation allows the calculation of the molar heats of vaporization $\Delta H$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2.303 \mathrm{pB}}{(\mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{C})} \quad 2=\frac{\Delta H}{\Delta V \mathrm{~T}}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ is the difference of the molar volume of the vapour $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ and 1iquid $\left(V_{L}\right)$ phases.

We have calculated $V_{L}$ from density values from the literature ${ }^{(17,18,19)}$ and $V_{V}$ from the virial expantion. The second virial coefficient $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{T})$ used in the latter equation was calculated from the correlation of Tarakad and Danner ${ }^{(20)}$ in order to keep consistency.

Tabla XI compares calculated and experimental molar heats of vaporization at $298.2^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ and at the boiling temperature for each substance. The relative error in each case is always smaller than $3 \%$ indicating the reliability of the calculated $\Delta H$ values from the fitted Antoine equation.

It is concluded that the ebulliometer and technique used in this study are very conyenient for determining vapour-1iquid equilibrium data of pure substances in relatively short periods of time and in a relatively large range of pressure and temperature. It is clear, however, that the accuracy of the measurements may be further improved through a rigorous calibration of the quartz thermometer at several points of the
temperature range used in this work.

TABLE II

| $T / K$ | $\mathrm{p}_{\text {exp }} / \mathrm{kPa}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Pa}$ | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{K}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\exp } / \mathrm{kPa}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Pa}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 325.42 | 26.419 | -78 | 340.12 | 52.957 | -22 |
| 327.39 | 29.152 | -45 | 342.30 | 58.347 | -22 |
| 329.06 | 31.659 | -9 | 343.25 | 60.904 | 45 |
| 330.77 | 34.462 | 82 | 344.26 | 63.625 | 20 |
| 332.28 | 36.912 | -26 | 345.15 | 66.137 | 27 |
| 333.84 | 39.773 | 25 | 346.13 | 68.938 | -27 |
| 335.19 | 42.310 | -15 | 347.86 | 74.223 | -36 |
| 336.57 | 45.151 | 46 | 349.08 | 78.190 | -5 |
| 337.76 | 47.667 | 41 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table II. Experimental vapour pressure $\mathrm{p}_{\exp }$ of 2 -propanol and pressure residuals $\Delta \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}_{\text {exp }}-\mathrm{p}_{\text {cal }}$; where $\mathrm{p}_{\text {cal }}$ has been obtained from Eq. (2).

TABLE III

| $T / K$ | $p_{\exp } / \mathrm{kPa}$ | $\Delta p / \mathrm{Pa}$ | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{K}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{\exp } / \mathrm{kPa}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{KPa} / \mathrm{Pa}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 323.15 | 19.530 | -76 | 351.02 | 53.022 | 52 |
| 331.15 | 26.530 | -58 | 352.49 | 55.603 | 54 |
| 333.76 | 29.220 | -41 | 354.01 | 58.347 | 26 |
| 336.28 | 32.051 | 5 | 355.20 | 60.599 | 32 |
| 338.50 | 34.692 | 17 | 356.79 | 63.699 | 23 |
| 340.34 | 37.017 | 33 | 357.94 | 65.991 | -13 |
| 342.37 | 39.678 | 3 | 359.34 | 68.932 | 4 |
| 344.22 | 42.282 | 20 | 360.52 | 71.447 | -26 |
| 346.10 | 45.068 | 38 | 362.10 | 75.000 | 4 |
| 347.80 | 47.689 | 32 | 363.65 | 78.538 | -48 |
| 349.67 | 50.717 | 29 |  |  |  |



| $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{K}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{\text {exp }} / \mathrm{kPa}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Pa}$ | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{K}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{\text {exp }} / \mathrm{kPa}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Pa}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 275.06 | 26.653 | -7 | 303.53 | 82.991 | -6 |
| 275.21 | 26.800 | -39 | 304.70 | 86.578 | 49 |
| 276.09 | 27.880 | -25 | 306.15 | 91.137 | 65 |
| 277.66 | 29.926 | 33 | 308.34 | 98.263 | -28 |
| 279.81 | 32.789 | -12 | 309.06 | 100.750 | -11 |
| 282.08 | 36.154 | 36 | 310.22 | 104.763 | -80 |
| 283.82 | 38.868 | 27 | 311.29 | 108.680 | -45 |
| 286.14 | 42.734 | 6 | 312.31 | 112.565 | 36 |
| 288.07 | 46.231 | 34 | 312.73 | 114.144 | 18 |
| 292.09 | 54.165 | 10 | 313.17 | 115.797 | -22 |
| 294.41 | 59.267 | 39 | 313.99 | 119.029 | 4 |
| 296.80 | 64.839 | -8 | 315.15 | 123.646 | 34 |
| 299.27 | 71.072 | -23 |  |  |  |

Table IV. Experimental vapour pressure $p_{\text {exp }}$ of $n$-pentane and pressure residuals $\Delta p=p_{\text {exp }}-p_{c a l}$; where $p_{c a l}$ has been obtained from
Eq. (2).

TABLE V

| $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{K}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{\text {exp }} / \mathrm{kPa}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Pa}$ | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{K}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{\exp } / \mathrm{kPa}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Pa}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 306.98 | 26.392 | 42 | 323.34 | 55.906 | -21 |
| 309.18 | 29.316 | 8 | 324.36 | 58.418 | -31 |
| 314.16 | 37.024 | -37 | 325.33 | 60.952 | 16 |
| 315.76 | 39.918 | 25 | 326.42 | 63.872 | 36 |
| 317.07 | 42.342 | -4 | 327.29 | 66.235 | 2 |
| 318.45 | 45.046 | -21 | 328.24 | 68.898 | -39 |
| 319.75 | 47.737 | -27 | 329.21 | 71.806 | 14 |
| 320.97 | 50.392 | -25 | 330.01 | 74.218 | -2 |
| 322.18 | 53.154 | -16 | 331.32 | 78.340 | -5 |

Table V. Experimental vapour pressure pexp of methanol and pressure residuals $\Delta p=p_{\text {exp }}-p_{\text {cal }}$; where $p_{c a l}$ has been obtained from

TABLE VI

| $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{K}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{\text {exp }} / \mathrm{kPa}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Pa}$ | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{K}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{\text {exp }} / \mathrm{kPa}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Pa}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 304.76 | 11.460 | 1 | 334.08 | 48.816 | 37 |
| 309.86 | 15.089 | 12 | 335.48 | 51.921 | 21 |
| 313.51 | 18.215 | -20 | 336.88 | 55.202 | 14 |
| 316.34 | 21.054 | -6 | 338.01 | 57.978 | 8 |
| 318.54 | 23.481 | -26 | 339.06 | 60.670 | 11 |
| 320.70 | 26.157 | 14 | 340.18 | 63.656 | 12 |
| 323.75 | 29.576 | 0 | 341.13 | 66.268 | -4 |
| 324.96 | 32.099 | 11 | 341.94 | 68.582 | 7 |
| 326.40 | 34.352 | 10 | 342.81 | 71.129 | -15 |
| 328.07 | 37.186 | 62 | 343.88 | 74.389 | -13 |
| 329.46 | 39.594 | 10 | 344.90 | 77.614 | -9 |
| 331.15 | 42.795 | 35 | 344.98 | 77.820 | -61 |
| 332.67 | 45.814 | 16 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table VI. Experimental vapour pressure $p_{\exp }$ of ethanol and pressure residual $\Delta \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}_{\exp }-\mathrm{p}_{\text {cal }}$; where $\mathrm{p}_{\text {cal }}$ has been obtained from Eq. (2).

TABIE: VII

| $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{K}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{\exp } / \mathrm{hPai}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Pa}$ | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{K}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{\exp } / \mathrm{kPa}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Pa}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 295.07 | 20.927 | -17 | 311.50 | 53.078 | 17 |
| 297.03 | 29.339 | -4 | 312.77 | 55.693 | -36 |
| 298.87 | 31.793 | 40 | 313.91 | 58.249 | 34 |
| 301.01 | 34.734 | -25 | 315.18 | 61.070 | -20 |
| 302.83 | 37.496 | 1 | 316.35 | 63.885 | 46 |
| 304.45 | 40.078 | 2 | 317.43 | 66.487 | 22 |
| 305.76 | 42.285 | 17 | 318.43 | 68.969 | -5 |
| 307.54 | 45.414 | 11 | 319.52 | 71.793 | -3 |
| 308.78 | 47.653 | -44 | 322.03 | 78.598 | -51 |

Table VII. Experimental vapour pressure $\mathrm{p}_{\text {exp }}$ of acetone and pressure residuals $\Delta p=p_{\text {exp }}-p_{\text {cal }}$; where $p_{c a l}$ has been obtained from Eq. (2).

## TABLE VIII

| $T / K$ | $p_{\text {exp }} / \mathrm{kPa}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Pa}$ | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{K}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{exp}} / \mathrm{kPa}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Pa}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 303.17 | 15.947 | 9 | 328.90 | 44.759 | -7 |
| 306.08 | 18.101 | 0 | 332.64 | 51.308 | 101 |
| 309.75 | 21.158 | -8 | 334.36 | 54.385 | -22 |
| 312.92 | 24.130 | -12 | 335.98 | 57.559 | -6 |
| 315.58 | 26.892 | -2 | 337.71 | 61.136 | 38 |
| 318.33 | 29.979 | -23 | 339.01 | 63.833 | -32 |
| 320.42 | 32.548 | -5 | 340.79 | 67.750 | -6.3 |
| 322.72 | 35.566 | 5 | 341.93 | 70.450 | 8 |
| 324.33 | 37.786 | -11 | 344.88 | 77.617 | -15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table VIII. Experimental vapour pressure $\mathrm{p}_{\text {exp }}$ of benzene and pressure residuals $\Delta p=p_{\text {exp }}-p_{\text {cal }}$; where $p_{c a l}$ has been obtained from
Eq. (2).

TABLE IX

| SUBSTANCE | A | B | C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| acetone | 6.384897 | 1288.8889 | -34.9215 |
| benzene | 6.048137 | 1222.364 | -50.9121 |
| 2 - propanol | 7.435340 | 1677.407 | -46.4170 |
| methanol | 7.211740 | 1583.890 | -33.4690 |
| 2,2,4 - trimethylpentane | 6.146780 | 1386.248 | -37.5840 |
| n-pentane | 6.206773 | 1183.321 | -27.5503 |
| ethanol | 6.404289 | 1690.380 | -38.3550 |

Table IX. Constants of the Antoine equation.

TABLE X

| SUBSTANCE | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}} / \mathrm{K}$ |  |  | $(\mathrm{dp} / \mathrm{dt}) / \mathrm{kPa} \mathrm{K}^{-1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | (a) | Ref. 1 |  | (b) | Ref. 1 |  |
| acetone | 329.24 | 329.28 |  | 3.472 | 3.463 |  |
| benzene | 353.30 | 353.25 |  | 3.119 | 3.122 |  |
| 2 - propanol | 355.35 | 355.48 |  | 4.101 | 4.102 |  |
| methanol | 337.71 | 337.66 |  | 3.992 | 3.992 |  |
| 2,2,4 - trimethylpentane | 372.34 | 372.39 |  | 2.886 | 2.886 |  |
| n - pentane | 309.22 | 309.22 |  | 3.480 | 3.458 |  |
| ethanol | 351.47 | 351.48 |  | 4.023 | 4.003 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table x . Comparison of calculated and literature values of normal boiling point and the first differential coefficient.
a values from the Antoine equation at $\mathrm{p}=101.325 \mathrm{kPa}$.
b values from the Antoine equation at the normal boiling point.
TABLE XI

|  | $\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{~mol}}{}{ }^{-1}$ |  | $\frac{\Delta H / K j ~ m o l^{-1}}{a t ~ T_{b}}$ |  | $\frac{(\% \text { error })^{\mathrm{d}}}{298.2 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{b}}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| acetone | 31.0 | $31.84{ }^{(17)}$ | 26.9 | $30.44{ }^{(17)}$ | 2.70 | -2.84 |
| benzene | 33.8 | $33.47{ }^{(18)}$ | 31.1 | $31.06{ }^{(18)}$ | -0.98 | 0.13 |
| 2-propanol | 44.7 | $44.98{ }^{(19)}$ | 40.7 | $39.83{ }^{(19)}$ | 0.63 | 2.14 |
| methanol | 37.9 | $37.96{ }^{(18)}$ | 35.9 | $35.92{ }^{(18)}$ | 0.16 | -0.06 |
| 2,2,4-trimethylpentane | 35.1 | $35.52^{(18)}$ | 30.4 | $31.12^{(18)}$ | 1.20 | -2.40 |
| n -pent ane | 26.8 | $26.44{ }^{(18)}$ | 26.4 | $25.84{ }^{(18)}$ | -1.34 | -2.12 |
| ethanol | 42.2 | $41.84{ }^{(17)}$ | 39.1 | $39.42^{(17)}$ | -0.85 | 0.82 |

Table XI. Comparison of calculated and literature values of the molar heat of vaporization at $298.2^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ and at the normal boiling point.
d

$$
(\% \text { error })=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}_{1 i t}-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cal}}}{\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cal}}} \cdot 100
$$



Fig. 2. Plot of pressure residuals $\Delta p$ for 2-propanol from Eq. (2) for values of this work and values reported by other authors. The curved lines correspond to $\Delta p$ values for the stated $\Delta T$ values of temperature, i.e., $\Delta \mathrm{p}=-(\mathrm{dp} / \mathrm{dT}) \Delta \mathrm{T}$. This work , Hirata ${ }^{12} \mathrm{O}$, Ambrose ${ }^{13}$, Ohe ${ }^{14}$


Fig. 3. Plot of pressure residuals $\Delta$ p for $2,2,4$-trimethylpentane from Eq. (2) for values of this work and values reported by other authors. The curved lines correspond to $\Delta p$ values for the stated $\Delta T$ values of temperature, i.e. $\Delta p=-(d p / d T) \Delta T$. This work ${ }^{\circ}$, Hirata ${ }^{12}$ O.


Fig. 4. Plot of pressure residuals $\Delta p$ for $n$-pentane from Eq. (2) for values of this work and values reported by other authors. The curved lines correspond to $\Delta p$ values for the stated $\Delta T$ values of temperature, i.e. $\Delta \mathrm{p}=-(\mathrm{dp} / \mathrm{dT}) \Delta \mathrm{T}$. This work O, A.P.I. ${ }^{1} \square$, Willingham ${ }^{10+}$, Ohe ${ }^{14}$


Fig. 5. Plot of pressure residuals $\Delta p$ for methanol from Eq. (2) for values of this work and values reported by other authors. The curved lines correspond to $\Delta p$ values for the stated $\Delta T$ values of temperature, i.e. $\Delta \mathrm{p}=-(\mathrm{dp} / \mathrm{dT}) \Delta \mathrm{T}$. This work - , Ambrose ${ }^{13} \square$.


Fig. 6. Plot of pressure residuals $\Delta p$ for ethanol from Eq. (2) for values of this work and values reported by other authors. The: curved lines correspond to $\Delta p$ values for the stated $\Delta T$ values of temperature, i.e. $\Delta p=-(d p / d T) \Delta T$. This work , Ambrose ${ }^{13} \square$, A.P.I. ${ }^{1} \square$, $\operatorname{Reid}^{9} \odot$, Kretschmer ${ }^{15} \mathrm{X}$, Holmes ${ }^{16}$.


T/K
Fig. 7. Plot of pressure residuals $\Delta \mathrm{p}$ for acetone from Eq. (2) for values of this work and values reported by other authors. The curved lines correspond to $\Delta \mathrm{p}$ values for the stated $\Delta \mathrm{T}$ values of temperature, i.e. $\Delta p=-(d p / d T) \Delta T$. This work © , Ambrose ${ }^{8} \square$, A.P.I. ${ }^{1}$.


Fig. 8 Plot of pressure residuals $\Delta p$ for benzene from Eq. (2) for values of this work and values reported by other authors. The curved lines correspond to $\Delta p$ values for the stated $\Delta T$ values of temperature, i.e. $\Delta p=-(d p / d T) \Delta T$. This work ©, A.P.I. ${ }^{1}$, $\operatorname{Reid}^{9} \odot$, Willingham ${ }^{10}+$, Forziattill *.
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