Errata

J.K. Kowalczyński, Cosmological interaction?, *Rev. Mex. Fís.* **35** No. 2 (1989) 319–325.

In the text
Page 319 (lines counted from 1. Introduction): in line 5 replace "[2,3]" by "(*e.g.* [2,3]),"; in line 8 replace "[5]." by "(*e.g.* [5])."; in line 17 replace "[7,8]." by "(see, *e.g.*, [7,8])."

Page 320: in line 3 the expression "electro weak" should read "electroweak"; in line 18 from the bottom replace "[9]," by "(*e.g.* [9]),"; in line 11 from the bottom replace "[2,3]." by "(see, *e.g.*, [2,3])."

Page 321: in line 9 replace "[1,2]," by "(*cf.*, *e.g.*, [1,2]),".

Page 322: in line 7 from TABLE I the expression "Let use note" should read "Let us note"; in line 7 from the bottom the expression "and $\vec{c}$," should read "and c,".

Page 323 [lines counted from relation (8)]: in line 2 the expression "constant, α_0 could be" should read "constant. α_0 could be" (full stop); in lines 4 and 10 the expression "Eq. (8)" should read "relation (8)"; in lines 8 and 9 the units "s^{-1}" and "Mpc^{-1}" should be printed in roman characters; in line 14 the expression "in [4])." should read "in [14])."

Page 324: in lines 10 and 11 the expression "for β_i's and α_k's are" should read "for β_i's ($i = 1, 2, 3$) when the above correlations between β_i's and α_k's are".

Everywhere in the text of Sections 3–5 the expressions "Eq. (5)" and "Eq. (9)" should read "formula (5)" and "formula (9)", respectively.

In the formulae
In the second equation of formula (5) the term "$f(n) =$" should read "$f(n) :=$" (definition).

In definition (6) the term "c^{-9}" should read "c^{-3}" (the exponent is minus three).

In the references
In [1] "Wheller," should read "Wheeler,"; in [8] "Grossler," should read "Gossler,"; in [12] "*eptons*," should read "*Leptons*,".

In some references to books the appropriate page numbers should be added, and so: [1], p. 1216; [9], p. 262; [12], p. 254; [16], p. 313.