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#### Abstract

The $\tau \rightarrow M \nu_{\tau}$ decays are revisited in the framework of an effective weak interaction Hamiltonian with neutrino mixings and right-handed currents. Hierarchical and Kobayashi-Maskawa neutrino mixings are considered in the evaluation of the ratio $R=\sum_{i} w(\tau \rightarrow$ $\left.M \nu_{i}\right) / w\left(\tau \rightarrow M \nu_{\tau}\right)$, and manifest left-right symmetry is assumed in our calculations.


PACS: 13.35.+s; 14.60.Gh; 14.60.Jj

## 1. Introduction

With the advent of new $\tau$-lepton factories, the decays and properties of this particle will be studied to a great extent, leading to a deep insight in the nature of the weak currents involved in these processes. In particular, the $\tau_{M 2}$ decays, where $M$ is a meson, are the simplest of the $\tau$-decays to look for massive neutrinos and righthanded currents, since we are in a two-body final state process [1]. Furthermore, some of these decays have high branching ratios, allowing high statistics studies. [For instance if $M$ is a vector (pseudoscalar) particle like the $\rho(\pi)$, we have branching ratio of about $22 \%(10 \%)$ ]. The $\tau_{M 2}$ decays are a subset of all the exclusive decay modes of the tau. This decays have been extensively studied, within an effective $V-A$ theory and massless neutrinos by some authors. We refer the reader to Ref. [2] for a review.

Since, up to now, there is no fundamental principle requiring neutrino zero mass, massive neutrinos are to be considered in any theory of weak interactions. In particular models of weak interactions with right-handed currents must involve a finite neutrino mass. Within the framework of this class of models, the $\tau \rightarrow M \nu_{\tau}$ decays must be considered as an incoherent sum of decay modes $\tau \rightarrow M \nu_{i}$, where $\nu_{i}$ denotes a neutrino mass eigenstate of mass $m_{i}$ distinct from the weak eigenstate $\nu_{\tau}$ which is a sum of the $\nu_{i}$ times a neutrino mass-mixing matrix factor $U_{\tau i}$. The index $i$ runs from one to three for a 3 -generation model. The masses of the neutrino mass eigenstates are commonly supposed to be in ascending order of values, i.e. $m_{1}<m_{2}<m_{3}$. This is the situation in the nondegenerated case when $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}$, and $\nu_{3}$ are, respectively, $\nu_{e}, \nu_{\mu}$, and $\nu_{\tau}[3]$.

In this paper we devote ourselves to the study of these decays, looking for effects due to neutrino mixings and right-handed currents. In Sect. 2 we give the amplitude for the four Feynman diagrams and some details of the model under consideration. In Sect. 3 we give the results for the ratio $R=\sum_{i} w\left(\tau \rightarrow M \nu_{i}\right) / w\left(\tau \rightarrow M \nu_{\tau}\right)$, with $M$ a pseudoscalar $(\pi, K)$ or vector meson $\left(\rho, K^{*}\right)$, for the Hierarchical and the Kobayashi-Maskawa mixings, and for manifest left-right symmetry. In Sect. 4 we present our conclusions.

## 2. Amplitude for $\tau \rightarrow M \nu_{i}$

In considering neutrino mixing and right-handed currents we have four diagrams contributing to the amplitude for $\tau \rightarrow M \nu_{\tau}$. These are

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{(a)}=\frac{G}{2 \sqrt{2}} \bar{u}_{\nu_{\tau}} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) u_{\tau}\langle M| J_{W L}^{\mu}(0)|0\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

mediated by $W_{L}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{(b)}=\frac{G}{2 \sqrt{2}} \eta \bar{u}_{\nu_{\tau}} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) u_{\tau}\langle M| J_{W L}^{\mu}(0)|0\rangle \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

mediated by $W_{R}-W_{L}$ mixing,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{(c)}=\frac{G}{2 \sqrt{2}} K \bar{u}_{\nu_{\tau}} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) u_{\tau}\langle M| J_{W R}^{\mu}(0)|0\rangle \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

mediated by $W_{L}-W_{R}$ mixing, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{(d)}=\frac{G}{2 \sqrt{2}} \lambda \bar{u}_{\nu_{\tau}} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) u_{\tau}\langle M| J_{W R}^{\mu}(0)|0\rangle \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

mediated by $W_{R}$.
In Eqs. (1) to (4), $\langle M| J_{W L(R)}^{\mu}(0)|0\rangle$ represents the hadronic matrix element of the left $(L)$ or right $(R)$ handed current associated to the $W_{L(R)}$. The parameters $\eta$, $\kappa$ and $\lambda$ measure the magnitude of the left-right mixing and right-handed currents. The weak eigenstates neutrino $\nu_{\tau L}, \nu_{\tau R}$ are assumed to be superpositions of masseigenstate neutrinos $N_{j}$ with mass $m_{j}$ [4]

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\nu_{\tau L}}=\sum_{j} U_{\tau j} N_{j L},  \tag{5}\\
& u_{\nu_{\tau R}}=\sum_{j} V_{\tau j} N_{j R} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

An appropriate choice of the matrices $U$ and $V$ leads us to the Dirac and Majorana neutrino cases. (No mixing means $U_{\tau j}=V_{\tau j}=\delta_{\tau j}$ ). The hadronic matrix element is given by

$$
\langle M| J_{W L}^{\mu}(0)|0\rangle=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{p} U_{\mathrm{KM}}^{*} p^{\mu}  \tag{7}\\
f_{v} U_{\mathrm{KM}}^{*} \epsilon^{\mu}(p)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\langle M| J_{W R}^{\mu}(0)|0\rangle=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{p}^{\prime} U_{\mathrm{KM}}^{\prime *} p^{\mu}  \tag{8}\\
f_{v}^{\prime} U_{\mathrm{KM}}^{\prime *} \epsilon^{\mu}(p)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f_{p}\left(f_{p}^{\prime}\right)$ and $f_{v}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ are the decay form factors for the case where $M$ is a pseudoscalar meson of 4 -momentum $p^{\mu}$, and a vector meson of polarization fourvector $\epsilon^{\mu}(p) . U_{\mathrm{KM}}$ and $U_{\mathrm{KM}}^{\prime}$ are Kobayashi-Maskawa mixing matrices for the left and right handed hadronic currents, respectively.

Adding Eqs. (1)-(4) and substituting Eqs. (5)-(8) we obtain for the decay amplitude

$$
M_{j}=\frac{G}{2 \sqrt{2}} U_{\mathrm{KM}}^{*}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
f_{p}  \tag{9}\\
f_{v}
\end{array}\right\}\left[F_{j} \bar{N}_{j L} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) u_{\tau}+F_{j}^{\prime} \bar{N}_{j R} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) u_{\tau}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
p^{\mu} \\
\epsilon^{\mu}(p)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{j}=\left(1+K\left\{\begin{array}{c}
f \\
f^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\}\right) U_{\tau j}  \tag{10}\\
& F_{j}^{\prime}=\left(\eta+\lambda\left\{\begin{array}{c}
f \\
f^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\}\right) V_{\tau j} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{f_{p}^{\prime} U_{\mathrm{KM}}^{\prime}}{f_{p} U_{\mathrm{KM}}^{*}}, \quad f^{\prime}=\frac{f_{v}^{\prime} U_{\mathrm{KM}}^{\prime}}{f_{v} U_{\mathrm{KM}}^{*}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Total decay rate

To compute the total decay rate $w\left(\tau \rightarrow M \nu_{\tau}\right)$, we proceed as usual: we sum over final spins (or polarization) and average over the initial one, and integrate over fina ${ }^{1}$
phase space. The result is

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
w\left(\tau \rightarrow M \nu_{\tau}\right)= & \left(\frac{G}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \sum_{j} \frac{1}{4 \pi} p_{(j)}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
M f_{p} \\
f_{v}
\end{array}\right\}^{2} \\
& \times\left[\left(\left|F_{j}\right|^{2}+\left|F_{j}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right) \times\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(1+\delta_{j}\right)\left(1-\delta+\delta_{j}\right)-4 \delta_{j} \\
\left(1+\delta_{j}\right)\left(1-\delta+\delta_{j}\right)-4 \delta_{j} \\
\delta
\end{array} 2\left(1-\delta+\delta_{j}\right)\right.\right.
\end{array}\right\},
$$

where $\delta=m^{2} / M^{2}, \delta_{i}=m_{i}^{2} / M^{2}$, with $m$ the meson mass and $M$ the $\tau$ mass; $p_{(j)}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{(j)}=\frac{M}{2} \lambda^{1 / 2}\left(1, \delta, \delta_{j}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\lambda(x, y, z)=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-2(x y+x z+y z)$.
In Eqs. (13) we have absorbed the $\left|U_{\mathrm{KM}}\right|^{2}$ factor into the decay constants $f_{p}$ and $f_{v}$ and the upper (lower) line corresponds to the pseudoscalar (vector) meson case. The sum is over the incoherent neutrino mass eigenstates only.

For left-handed currents only and no neutrino mixings we obtain the result [5-6]

$$
w^{0}\left(\tau \rightarrow M \nu_{\tau}\right)=\left(\frac{G}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{p}{4 \pi}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
M f_{p}  \tag{15}\\
f_{v}
\end{array}\right\}^{2}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
(1-\delta) \\
\left(\frac{1}{\delta}+2\right)(1-\delta)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $p=\frac{M}{2}(1-\delta)$.
The rate for the mode $\tau \rightarrow M \nu_{\tau}$, Eq. (13), relative to that for the conventional decay $\tau \rightarrow M \nu_{\tau}$, with $m_{\nu \tau}=0$ and no right-handed currents, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{p} \equiv \sum_{j} & \frac{p_{(j)}}{p} \frac{1}{1-\delta}\left[\left(\left|F_{j}\right|^{2}+\left|F_{j}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)\left(\left(1+\delta_{j}\right)\left(1-\delta+\delta_{j}\right)-4 \delta_{j}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(F_{j} F_{j}^{\prime *}\right) \delta \sqrt{\delta_{j}}\right] \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

for the case when $M$ is a pseudoscalar meson, and

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{v} & \equiv \sum_{j} \frac{p_{(j)}}{p} \frac{1}{(1-\delta)(2+1 / \delta)}\left[\left(\left|F_{j}\right|^{2}+\left|F_{j}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left(\frac{\left(1+\delta_{j}\right)\left(1-\delta+\delta_{j}\right)-4 \delta_{j}}{\delta}+2\left(1-\delta+\delta_{j}\right)\right)-6 \operatorname{Re}\left(F_{j}^{*} F_{j}^{\prime}\right) \sqrt{\delta_{j}}\right] \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 1. Plot of $R_{\pi}$ vs $m_{3}$ for Hierarchical mixing (curve H) and Kobayashi-Maskawa mixing (KM).
for the case when $M$ is a vector meson. We proceed to the study of these results as follows. For manifest left-right symmetry [6] we have $\eta=K, V_{\tau j}=U_{\tau j}$ and $f=f^{\prime}=1$. Then $F_{j}=(1+K) U_{\tau j}$ and $F_{j}=(K+\lambda) U_{\tau j}$. The parameters $K$ and $\lambda$ are expressed by the gauge coupling constant, the masses of the gauge bosons and the mixing angle between the light and heavy gauge bosons [7]: $K \simeq-1.44 \times 10^{-3}$, $\lambda=0.4028$ (for $M_{W R}=400 \mathrm{GeV}$ ). In Fig. 1 we plot $R_{\pi}$ vs $m_{3}$, for hierarchical mixing (H) and Kobayashi-Maskawa mixing (KM) in the neutrino sector. We use, for Hierarchical mixing, the values of $U_{\tau j}$ given in [8]

$$
\left|U_{13}\right|^{2}=0.0003, \quad\left|U_{23}\right|^{2}=0.059 \quad \text { and } \quad\left|U_{33}\right|^{2}=0.94 .
$$

For KM mixing, we use, as an example, solution $(C)$ from Ref. [9]

$$
\left|U_{13}\right|^{2}=0.1681, \quad\left|U_{23}\right|^{2}=0.0004 \quad \text { and } \quad\left|U_{33}\right|^{2}=0.8281 .
$$

For these values of $U_{\tau j}$ we observe that the dominant contribution comes, as expected, from $m_{3}$ only. In Fig. 2 we do the same for $R_{\rho}$. We note for $R_{\pi}$ that H -mixing is greater that KM-mixing in about $1 \%$ for the full range of $m_{3}$. For $R_{\rho}$ we note the same behaviour up to $m_{3}$ around 90 GeV . Above this $m_{3}$ value KM -mixing is greater that H mixing by $0.1 \%$. Eq. (13) do not incorporate radiative corrections, which depend on $m_{3}$ and meson structure functions. For the $\tau \rightarrow \pi \nu$ decay, with no neutrino mixing and no right-handed currents, in an effective $V-A$ theory, radiative corrections give a contribution $-5.4 \%$ to $-4.4 \%$ for $0 \leq m_{\nu \tau} \leq 100(\mathrm{MeV})[5]$. For the $\tau \rightarrow \rho \nu_{\tau}$ decay the contribution of the radiative corrections is in the range $-0.77 \%$ to $0.66 \%$ for $0 \leq m_{\tau} \leq 80(\mathrm{MeV})[6]$. Then, radiative corrections in $\tau \rightarrow \pi \nu_{\tau}$ are much greater than the contributions arising from right-handed currents. But for


Figure 2. Plot of $R_{\rho}$ vs $m_{3}$ for hierarchical mixing (curve H) and Kobayashi-Maskawa mixing (KM).
$\tau \rightarrow \rho \nu_{\tau}$ the contributions coming from right- handed currents amount to around $3 \%$, for both H and KM mixings. We conclude that the $\tau \rightarrow \rho \nu_{\tau}$ decay mode is a suitable one to look for right-handed currents, without taking into account radiative corrections. Eqs. (16) and (17) are insensitive to radiative corrections, except for radiative corrections coming from diagrams mediated by the heavy right-handed weak boson, which aresmall for $M_{W R} \geq 400 \mathrm{GeV}$.

## 4. Conclusion

We have calculated the $\tau \rightarrow M \nu_{\tau}$ decays in the framework of a model of weak interactions with neutrino mixing and right-handed currents. Our results shows that, for manifest left-right symmetry and for $M_{W R}=400 \mathrm{GeV}$, these decays are $1.6 \%\left(\tau_{\pi \nu}\right)$ and $3.4 \%\left(\tau_{\rho \nu}\right)$ greater than the corresponding one in the absence of right handed currents and neutrino mixing. For both cases the experimental result do not exclude the kind of contribution studied here.
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Resumen. En el contexto de un hamiltoniano de interacciones débiles efectivo con mezcla de neutrinos y corrientes derechas se revisan los decaimientos $\tau \rightarrow M \nu_{\tau}$. En la evaluación de la razón $R=\sum_{i} w(\tau \rightarrow$ $\left.M \nu_{i}\right) / w\left(\tau \rightarrow M \nu_{\tau}\right)$ se consideran la mezcla de neutrinos tipo jerárquica y la tipo Kobayashi-Maskawa. Suponemos simetría manifiesta izquierdaderecha en nuestros cálculos.

