Investigación

## Susy quantum mechanics and cochains\*

J.L. LUCIO M., M. VARGAS Instituto de Física, Universidad de Guanajuato Apartado postal E-143, 37500 León, Gto, México

AND

P. CAMPOS-MEZA Departamento de Física, Centro de Investigación y Estudios Avanzados, Instituto Politécnico Nacional Apartado postal 740, 07000 México, D.F. Recibido el 16 de octubre de 1992; aceptado el 26 de febrero de 1993

ABSTRACT. We consider susy transformations in quantum mechanics. We show they give rise to a 1 cochain. We relate the breaking of susy to the non triviality of this cochain.

RESUMEN. Considerando transformaciones supersimétricas en mecánica cuántica, se muestra que ellas dan lugar a una co-cadena. El rompimiento de supersimetría se relaciona con el carácter no trivial de esta co-cadena.

PACS: 11.30.-j; 11.30.Pb

It has been pointed out that the existence of non trivial cochains (cocycles) may be a signal of anomalies in some quantum field theories [1]. This fact motivated us to reconsider susy quantum mechanics so as to try to relate the breaking of susy with a non trivial 1 cochain.

1 cochains appear whenever we consider transformations which are symmetries of the action but not of the Lagrangian. At the quantum level this is reflected as a phase  $(\omega_1)$  in the equation describing the wave function transformation [2]:

$$q \xrightarrow{g} q^g \qquad \mathcal{U}(g)\psi(q) = e^{i2\pi\omega_1(q,g)}\psi(q^g),$$
 (1)

 $\omega_1$  is called trivial if there exists an  $\alpha(q)$  such that

$$2\pi\omega_1(q,g) = \alpha(q^g) - \alpha(q).$$

A well known example [2] of cocycles is provided by Galileo's transformations for a free particle. In that case

$$q^{v} = q - vt,$$
  $\mathcal{L}(q, \dot{q}, t) = \frac{1}{2m} \dot{q}^{2},$ 

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported in part by CONACYT under contracts F246-E9207 and 1628-E9209.

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{d}{dt} m \left( \frac{1}{2} v^2 t - q v \right), \tag{2}$$

the generator of the symmetry, *i.e.* the conserved charge is

$$Q = mqv - m\dot{q}vt. \tag{3}$$

Owing to the canonical commutation relations, the wave function transforms under Galileo's transformations according to

$$e^{iQ}\psi(q) = e^{i2\pi\omega_1(q,v)}\psi(q^v = q - vt),$$

where

$$\omega_1(q,v) = mqv - \frac{1}{2}mv^2t.$$

In this case  $\omega_1$  is trivial and

$$2\pi\alpha(q) = -\frac{mq^2}{2t}.$$

It is convenient to introduce

$$\phi = e^{+i2\pi\alpha(q)}\psi(q).$$

In terms of  $\phi$  the Schrödinger equation for  $\psi$  is

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\exp\left(\frac{imq^2}{2t}\right)\phi = \frac{p^2}{2m}\exp\left(\frac{imq^2}{2t}\right)\phi.$$

Simplifying this equation we obtain

$$i\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} = H'\phi,$$

where

$$H' = \frac{1}{2m} \left( p + \frac{mq}{t} \right)^2 - \frac{mq^2}{2t^2}.$$

This Hamiltonian leads to the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}' = m \left( \frac{\dot{q}^2}{2} - \frac{q\dot{q}}{t} + \frac{q^2}{2t^2} \right)$$
$$= \mathcal{L} + \frac{d}{dt} (2\pi\alpha(q)).$$
(4)

This new Lagrangian is invariant under Galileo transformations and therefore at the quantum level it is free of cocycles. At the classical level  $\mathcal{L}'$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  (Eq. 4) are equivalent, at the quantum level they differ in the appearance of a 1 cochain.

Let us now consider the theory described by the Lagrangian [3]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{x}^2 + \frac{i}{2}(\psi^*\dot{\psi} - \dot{\psi}^*\psi) - \frac{1}{2}(u(x))^2 - \frac{1}{2}[\psi^*, \psi]u'(x),$$
(5)

where the prime over u denotes a derivative with respect to the x and  $\psi$  and  $\psi^*$  are grassmanian variables which satisfy

$$\{\psi^*,\psi\} = \{\psi,\psi\} = \{\psi^*,\psi^*\} = 0.$$

This Lagrangian describes a supersymmetric theory as under the susy transformations:

$$\delta x = i(\psi \epsilon - \epsilon^* \psi^*),$$
  

$$\delta \psi = \epsilon^* (\dot{x} - iu),$$
  

$$\delta \psi^* = \epsilon (\dot{x} + iu),$$

with  $\epsilon, \epsilon^*$  constant grassmanian quantities, the variation of the Lagrangian is given by

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \tilde{Q}_1 \epsilon + \epsilon^* \tilde{Q}_2 \right), \tag{6}$$

where

$$\tilde{Q}_1 = (i\dot{x} + u)\psi, \qquad \tilde{Q}_2 = (i\dot{x} - u)\psi^*.$$
(7)

Since the Lagrangian is not invariant [see Eq. 6] we expect the appearance of a 1 cochain. Taking into account the constraints

$$\phi^{(1)} = p_{\psi} - \frac{i}{2}\psi^*, \qquad \phi^{(2)} = p_{\psi}^* - \frac{i}{2}\psi,$$

the theory can be quantized by imposing

$$[x, p] = i, \qquad \{\psi^*, \psi\} = 1.$$

It is convenient to choose the representation [3]

$$\psi^* = \sigma_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \psi = \sigma_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this representation we recover susy quantum mechanics in the form originally given by Witten [4]

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left( p^2 + u^2(x) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_3 u'(x).$$
(8)

This Hamiltonian can be expressed in terms of the supercharges  $Q_i$ , the generators of the supersymmetry algebra,

$$H = \frac{1}{2}Q_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}Q_2^2,$$

where

$$Q_1 = p\sigma_1 + u\sigma_2,$$
$$Q_2 = p\sigma_2 + u\sigma_1,$$

with  $\sigma_i$  the Pauli matrices. Clearly

$$[Q_1, H] = [Q_2, H] = 0.$$

Finite susy transformations are generated by

$$G_i = e^{i\epsilon Q_i}.$$

For an arbitrary operator the infinitesimal transformation reduces to

$$\delta_i \mathcal{O} = i[\epsilon Q_i, \mathcal{O}].$$

In particular notice that (in the following we will restraint to  $Q_1$ , although a similar analysis can be applied to  $Q_2$ )

$$\delta_1 x = i[p\epsilon\sigma_1 + u\epsilon\sigma_2, x] = \epsilon\sigma_1. \tag{9}$$

Let  $\psi(x)$  be a solution of the Schrödinger equation:

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{2}+u(x)\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{3}u'(x)\right\}\psi(x)=E\psi(x).$$
(10)

Consider a susy transformation on  $\psi(x)$ :

$$e^{i\epsilon Q_1}\psi(x) = e^{i\epsilon u(x)\sigma_2}e^{i\epsilon p\sigma_1}\psi(x)$$
$$= e^{i\epsilon u(x)\sigma_2}\psi(x+\epsilon\sigma_1) = e^{i2\pi\omega_1}\psi(x').$$
(11)

This result shows that susy transformations give rise to a 1 cochain. (In fact we are dealing with a ray proyective representation).  $\omega_1$  is trivial if it can be written as

$$2\pi\omega_1 = \alpha_0(x') - \alpha_0(x).$$

Substituing  $\omega_1, x' = x + \epsilon \sigma_1$  and expanding we obtain

$$u(x)\sigma_2 = \sigma_1 \frac{d\alpha_0}{dx},\tag{12}$$

which can be solved to yield

$$\alpha_0(x) = \pm i\sigma_3 \int_{x_0}^x u(y) \, dy.$$
 (13)

We can redefine  $\psi(x)$  so as to eliminate  $\omega_1$ :

$$\Phi(x) = e^{i\alpha_0(x)}\psi(x) = e^{-\sigma_3 \int_{x_0}^x u(y) \, dy} \psi(x).$$

At this point a few comments are in order:

Although we have formally solved Eq. (12), we still must require that we get a sensible result, *i.e.* that we obtain a new wave function  $\Phi(x)$  which is physically acceptable. If this is not so, we will consider that the cochain is non-trivial.

Up to a constant, the cochain  $e^{i\alpha_0(x)}$  is the wave function of the ground state of susy quantum mechanics. It is a well known fact [3, 4] that the requirement of normalisability of this states leads to a criterium for the breaking of susy.

In solving Eq. (12) to show the triviality of the cochain, we do not get any constraint on u(x). However, the new wave function  $\Phi(x)$  must be well defined over the whole space, in particular for  $x \to \pm \infty$ . This requirement is fulfilled if the leading term of u(x) is an odd function of x. On the other hand if the leading term of u(x) is an even function of x,  $\int u(x) dx$  is odd and  $\Phi(x)$  is not finite either in  $x = +\infty$  or  $x = -\infty$ .

Summarizing, we have shown that susy transformations in quantum mechanics give rise to a 1 cochain. Although we can formally show the triviality of the cochain, demanding a physicaly acceptable wave function requires u(x) to be an odd function of x, in accordance with a well known criterion for susy breaking. We can therefore conclude that, at least for the example under consideration, the non-triviality of the cochain is an indication of the quantum mechanical breaking of the symmetry.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

One of the authors (JLLM) whishes to acknowledge L. Urrutia for enlighting conversations.

## REFERENCES

- 1. R. Jackiw, "Anomalies and topology", in Proceedings of the Theoretical Advances Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics, Yale University (1985).
- 2. R. Jackiw in *Relativity groups and topology II*, B.S. DeWitt and R. Stora eds. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- 3. R.B. Abbot and W.J. Zakrzewski, in *Supersymmetry and supergravity 1983*, B Milewwsky ed. World Scientific, Singapore (1983).
- 4. E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B188 (1981) 513.