

## Conserved quantities in the variational equations

C.M. Arizmendi

*Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata,  
Mar del Plata, Argentina*

J. Delgado

*Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa,  
Apartado Postal 55-534 Iztapalapa 09340 D.F., México.*

H.N. Núñez-Yépez

*Departamento de Física, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa,  
Apartado Postal 55-534 Iztapalapa 09340 D.F., México.*

A.L. Salas-Brito\*

*Laboratorio de Sistemas Dinámicos, Departamento de Ciencias Básicas,  
Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Azcapotzalco,  
Apartado Postal 21-267, Coyoacán 04000 D.F., México.*

Recibido el 3 de junio de 2002; aceptado el 19 de febrero de 2003

Noether's theorem relating continuous symmetries of a Lagrangian system to the existence of conserved quantities is shown to be valid at the level of the variational equations of the system. This result can be helpful in the study of perturbations and of integrability in various areas of current interest. As examples, we derive conserved quantities in linearized general relativity and obtain conserved quantities valid in perturbed classical dynamics.

*Keywords:* Noether theorem, variational equations, Lagrangian theories.

Demostramos que el teorema de Noether, que relaciona simetrías continuas de un sistema lagrangiano con la existencia de cantidades conservadas, es también válido para las ecuaciones variacionales del sistema. Este resultado puede ser de utilidad tanto en la teoría de perturbaciones como en estudios sobre integrabilidad en diversas áreas de interés actual. A guisa de ejemplo encontramos una cantidad conservada en relatividad general mediante el análisis de las simetrías de la gravitación linealizada, y, por otro lado, obtenemos una cantidad conservada muy simple que es válida en la mecánica clásica perturbada.

*Descriptores:* Teorema de Noether, ecuaciones variacionales, teorías lagrangianas.

PACS: 45.10.Db; 04.20.Fy; 45.20.Jj

The equations of motion of many physically relevant systems can be obtained within a Lagrangian framework. The systems are described using a Lagrangian density through the Euler-Lagrange equations. To these equations of motion we can associate another set of equations obtained by linearizing the Euler-Lagrange equations around one of its particular solutions. Such linearized equations are called the *variational equations* [1], are important in studies of stability [2–4] and for defining quantities as the Lyapunov exponents or some forms of entropy in dynamical system theory [2, 5]. Also they are the equations of geodesic deviation in general relativity and other metric theories of gravitation [6–10]. They are in general useful for describing perturbations from the original dynamics and have been variously used in analysing non-linear evolution equations [11], in studies of stability in galactic dynamics [12], in performing the Painlevé test [13], and in geometric control theory [14, 15].

It has been shown that if the field equations can be casted in Hamiltonian form and if any solution of the variational equations can be expressed as the Poisson bracket of a field variable,  $\phi_a$ , with any other dynamical variable, then this dynamical variable is necessarily a constant of motion [11]. The

converse of this result has been proved earlier in [16]. The variational equations and its symmetry properties are also important for studying solitonic solutions to certain non-linear wave equations [4]. It is also known that if the original Lagrangian is invariant under a translation in any space-time direction and  $\psi$  is one of its solutions, then the directional derivative of  $\psi$  along such direction is then a solution of the variational equations [16].

Thus, given the relevance of the variational equations in many aspects of physics, and of the relationship [11, 16] between constants of motion and properties of the solutions of the original equations, in this work we want to pursue the relation between symmetries and constants of motion in the first-order dynamics. To accomplish this task, a Lagrangian description of the variational equations is very convenient. Though this description does not appear easy to attain—given the use of particular (and explicitly space-time dependent) solutions of the original equations for deriving the variational equations—a complete Lagrangian characterization of the variational equations of Lagrangian systems has been advanced recently [17], see also [7, 10] for previous related results. The idea is the following, given the Lagrangian den-

sity associated with a system,  $L$ , a new density needs to be defined [14, 17] as

$$\gamma = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi^a} \epsilon^a + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi^a_{,\mu}} \epsilon^a_{,\mu}, \tag{1}$$

where the  $\epsilon^a$  and the  $\epsilon^a_{,\mu}$  correspond, respectively, to deviations from the original field variables and to their space-time derivatives. These deviations are assumed to connect two nearby solutions of the original Euler-Lagrange equations. In (1), as in all of the paper, the summation convention is implied, we also use  $\psi_{,\mu} \equiv \partial\psi/\partial x^\mu$ , Latin indices correspond to internal variables, and Greek indices to space-time coordinates:  $x^\mu$ ,  $\mu = 0, \dots, 3$ . From a strictly mathematical viewpoint the density  $\gamma$  can be interpreted as the prolongation of  $L$  [14].

Using the density  $\gamma$  we can further define an alternative action functional as

$$\Sigma = \int_{\Omega} \gamma(\phi^a, \epsilon^a, \phi^a_{,\mu}, \epsilon^a_{,\mu}) d^4x, \tag{2}$$

where  $\Omega$  is an appropriate space-time region. This action is fundamental for our formulation.

The extremalization of (2) gives [17] both the Euler-Lagrange equations,

$$\left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi^a_{,\mu}} \right)_{,\mu} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi^a} = 0, \tag{3}$$

and the associated variational equations,

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \phi^a_{,\mu} \partial \phi^b_{,\nu}} \right) \epsilon^b_{,\mu\nu} + \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \phi^a_{,\mu} \partial \phi^b_{,\nu}} \right)_{,\mu} + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \phi^a_{,\nu} \partial \phi^b} - \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \phi^b_{,\nu} \partial \phi^a} \right] \epsilon^b_{,\nu} + \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \phi^a_{,\mu} \partial \phi^b} \right)_{,\mu} - \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \phi^a \partial \phi^b} \right] \epsilon^b = 0. \tag{4}$$

In the form given above, the variational equations do not have to be regarded as explicitly dependent on the space-time coordinates unless the original Lagrangian density  $L$  is so from the start. This property, shared by the function  $\gamma$ , is analogous to what occurs with the Lagrangian density  $L$  itself, which is not considered space-time dependent despite being an explicit function of the fields  $\phi^a(x)$  which, in principle, also depend on  $x^\mu$ . The form (4) of the variational equations and their origin from (2) is closely connected with properties of the Jacobi equation of interest for the study of geodesics in Riemannian manifolds and gives a way for deriving the associated curvature tensor from a variational formulation [2, 18]. It should be clear that  $\gamma$  plays the role of a new form of the density associated with the system. From the existence of the action (2) it can be easily proved that  $\gamma$  is invariant under arbitrary point transformations on its configuration manifold comprised by the  $\phi^a$ , the  $\epsilon^a$ , and their space-time derivatives [14, 17]. The function  $\gamma$  thus describes both the system and its variational equations.

To establish the connection between symmetries and conservation laws in the variational equations, let us consider a prolonged Lagrangian density  $\gamma$  and assume it invariant (or quasi-invariant, but in what follows we use invariant for short) under the following continuous group of transformations

$$\bar{\phi}^a = F^a_{\phi}(x^\mu, \phi^a, \epsilon^a, w^s), \tag{5}$$

$$\bar{\epsilon}^\mu = F^\mu_{\epsilon}(x^\mu, \phi^a, \epsilon^a, w^s), \tag{6}$$

$$\bar{x}^\mu = F^\mu_{\bar{x}}(x^\mu, \phi^a, \epsilon^a, w^s), \tag{7}$$

where the  $w^s$  are the  $r$  parameters of the group, defined in such a way that the transformation reduces to the identity when all the  $w^s$  vanish. The infinitesimal generators of

the transformation group (5) are thus

$$\zeta_s^a = \left( \frac{\partial F^a_{\phi}}{\partial w^s} \right)_{w^s=0}, \quad \eta_s^a = \left( \frac{\partial F^a_{\epsilon}}{\partial w^s} \right)_{w^s=0},$$

$$\xi_s^\mu = \left( \frac{\partial F^\mu_{\bar{x}}}{\partial w^s} \right)_{w^s=0}. \tag{8}$$

If the set of transformations (5–7) [or (8)] is a symmetry of  $\gamma$ , it could stem directly from a symmetry of the original Lagrangian  $L$  and, in this case, we could have  $F^a_{\phi} = F^\mu_{\epsilon}$ . But it is also possible that this will not be the case, for the symmetries of  $\gamma$  are expected to be larger than those of  $L$ —as can be simply ascertained by thinking about the possible transformations that leave (3) and (4) unchanged. Our examples below show simple instances of such case.

That the transformation group (5) be a symmetry of  $\gamma$ , means that the action  $\Sigma$  (Eq. 2) remains invariant under the transformations generated by the group. A necessary and sufficient condition for this to be true is that

$$\gamma \left( \bar{\phi}^a, \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}^a}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu}, \bar{x}^\mu \right) D = \gamma(\phi^a, \frac{\partial \phi^a}{\partial x^\mu}, x^\mu), \tag{9}$$

where  $D = \det(\partial \bar{x}^\mu / \partial x^\nu)$  is the Jacobian determinant of the transformation. Eq. (9) is the fundamental equation allowing us to explicitly write the relationship between the generators of the continuous symmetries of  $\gamma$  and the conserved quantities in the variational equations [19].

To see how the extension can be accomplished, differentiate partially this equality (9) with respect to  $w^s$ , noting that the right hand side is independent of such parameters, and evaluate in  $w^s = 0$  after the differentiation. After some manipulations this yields

$$\left[ \gamma \xi_s^\mu + \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \phi_{,\mu}^a} (\zeta_s^a - \phi_{,\nu}^a \xi_s^\nu) + \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \epsilon_{,\mu}^a} (\eta_s^a - \epsilon_{,\nu}^a \xi_s^\nu) \right]_{,\mu} + \left[ \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \phi^a} - \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \phi_{,\mu}^a} \right)_{,\mu} \right] (\zeta_s^a - \phi_{,\nu}^a \xi_s^\nu) + \left[ \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \epsilon^a} - \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \epsilon_{,\mu}^a} \right)_{,\mu} \right] (\eta_s^a - \epsilon_{,\nu}^a \xi_s^\nu) = 0. \quad (10)$$

Finally, use the equations of motion [Eqs. (3) and (4)] to obtain

$$\frac{\partial \tau_s^\mu}{\partial x^\mu} = 0, \quad (11)$$

where the divergenceless tensor  $\tau_s^\mu$  is defined by

$$\tau_s^\mu = \gamma \xi_s^\mu + \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \phi_{,\mu}^a} (\zeta_s^a - \phi_{,\nu}^a \xi_s^\nu) + \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \epsilon_{,\mu}^a} (\eta_s^a - \epsilon_{,\nu}^a \xi_s^\nu). \quad (12)$$

This is the conserved tensor associated with the variational equations. It is to be noted that this result can be regarded as the Noether’s theorem for the variational equations—that can be checked following the steps for the demonstration of Noether’s theorem that can be found in many places, for example in Ref. 19. The conservation of  $\tau$  (12) was to be expected since  $\gamma$  is indeed a Lagrangian function on its own. The conserved quantities are simply related to the infinitesimal generators (8) of the symmetry group of the variational equations, as Eq. (12) explicitly shows.

To give examples of the usefulness of this result, we next derive (in admittedly simplistic instances) conserved quantities starting from well-known Lagrangian symmetries.

*Example 1.* Let us consider the Lagrangian density

$$L = \frac{1}{2} R^{a\mu b\nu} x_{a,\mu} x_{b,\nu}, \quad (13)$$

where  $R^{a\mu b\nu} = R^{b\nu a\mu}$  is the Riemann tensor.

This Lagrangian (13) describes Einstein equations in a vacuum. The density  $\gamma$  associated with (13) is

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} (R^{a\mu b\nu})_{,\rho} \epsilon^\rho x_{a,\mu} x_{b,\nu} + R^{a\mu b\nu} x_{a,\mu} \epsilon_{b,\nu}; \quad (14)$$

in this case  $\gamma$  describes linearized gravitation in a vacuum. Taking into consideration the properties of  $R^{a\mu b\nu}$ , it is not difficult to realize that  $\gamma$  is invariant under the one parameter group of transformations

$$\bar{x}^\mu = x^\mu + x^\mu w, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\epsilon}^\mu = \epsilon^\mu, \quad (15)$$

the infinitesimal generators are thus  $\xi = 0$ ,  $\zeta^\mu = x^\mu$ , and  $\eta^\mu = 0$ . With the symmetries and the infinitesimal generators established, the extended Noether’s theorem predicts that the quantity

$$\tau^\mu = \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_{,\mu}^a} \zeta^a = (R^{a\mu b\nu})_{,\rho} \epsilon_\rho x_{b,\nu} x_a + R^{a\mu b\nu} \epsilon_{b,\nu} x_a \quad (16)$$

$$= R^{a\mu b\nu} \epsilon_{b,\nu} x_a \quad (17)$$

is divergenceless and hence generates a conserved quantity. This is a rather useful tensor that was employed (and had to be evaluated using direct calculations) in Ref. 20 to calculate the conserved quantities of Newman and Penrose. Notice also that this  $\tau^\mu$  is a conserved quantity in *any* vacuum space-time in General Relativity. We have thus managed to derive using our result (12) one of the results in Ref 7. Moreover,  $\gamma$  can be also shown to be invariant under the one parameter group of transformations

$$\bar{x}^\mu = x^\mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\epsilon}^\mu = \epsilon^\mu + \epsilon^\mu w, \quad (18)$$

with the infinitesimal generators  $\xi = 0$ ,  $\zeta^\mu = 0$ , and  $\eta^\mu = \epsilon^\mu$ . In this case (12) leads directly to the divergence less tensor

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^\mu &= \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \epsilon_{,\mu}^a} \eta^a \\ &= R^{a\mu b\nu} \epsilon_a x_{b,\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

which due to the symmetry properties of  $R^{a\mu b\nu}$ , happens to identically vanish. Nevertheless, we have illustrated in a simple instance that the symmetries of  $\gamma$  can be larger than those of  $L$ . It should be noticed that the ‘extended’ symmetry [Eqs. (18)] is the original symmetry of the Lagrangian just applied to the perturbation variables. We must point out also that the idea for this example is taken from [7] where it is used to relate symmetry transformations to the existence of divergence less quantities in a first-order approximation to Einstein theory.

There are other potential uses of our main result (11) in general relativity and in other field theories. For example, for investigating perturbations to known metrics [21] and to contribute to the study of certain angular momentum ambiguities of recent interest [22]. The excellent review [23] discusses some other contemporary uses of perturbation methods in cosmological models in the context of general relativity.

*Example 2.* Our result can be also profitably applied to particle mechanics by a simple reinterpretation of the symbols used in Eq. (12) and the replacement of the four spacetime parameters  $x^a$  by the time  $t$ . To give a simple example, let us

consider a Lagrangian function describing a system of particles in which one of the coordinates is ignorable. In this case, the original Lagrangian and the function  $\gamma$  can be written as

$$L = \frac{1}{2} m_{ab} \dot{q}^a \dot{q}^b - U(q), \quad (20)$$

$$\gamma = m_{ab} \dot{q}^a \epsilon^b - \frac{\partial U}{\partial q^a} \epsilon^a, \quad (21)$$

where  $m_{ab}$  is a symmetric ‘mass’ matrix formed by the second derivatives of the system’s kinetic energy respect to the generalized velocities  $\dot{q}^a$ , and  $U(q)$  is its potential energy function. For the purposes of the example we are assuming that  $m_{ab}$  does not depend on the generalized coordinates  $q^a$ , but this is of no important for the argument—it just simplifies a little the form of the associated conserved quantity. The invariance under translations in the, let us say,  $q^A$  direction (*i. e.* the transformation is  $\bar{q}^A = q^A + w$ ,  $\bar{\epsilon}^A = \epsilon^A + w$ , the rest of the coordinates and velocities remain unchanged) can be associated with the infinitesimal generators  $\xi = 0$  and  $\zeta^A = \eta^A = 1$ , and so using (12) we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_A &= \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \dot{\epsilon}^A} + \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \dot{q}^A} \\ &= p_A + \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \dot{q}^A}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

is a constant of motion in the variational equations of the system, where  $p_A = \partial L / \partial \dot{q}_A$  is the momentum conjugate to the coordinate  $q^A$ . In fact, using the obvious invariance of (20) under the space translation  $\bar{q}^A = q^A + w$ , and  $\bar{\epsilon}^A = \epsilon^A + w$ , which corresponds to the infinitesimal generators  $\zeta^A = 1$ ,  $\eta^A = 1$ , and  $\xi = 0$ , we can directly prove that the term

$$\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \dot{q}^A} \quad (23)$$

appearing in Eq. (22), is, as it should be, a constant of motion on its own. These results are direct and simple examples of conserved quantities which may be important (in more complex instances) in the analysis of classical perturbation methods with astronomical interest [24].

To summarize, we have established a form of Noether’s theorem that encompasses the variational equations and have illustrated its use with direct examples. The conserved quantities encountered can be useful in perturbation theory as our formalism can be regarded as a starting point for studying perturbations in all kind of Lagrangian problems. Moreover, if we take into consideration the Lagrangian foundations of the path integral approach our formalism could be useful in approaching approximate schemes in quantum field theory [25]. We also consider it as possibly important for studying properties of solitonic solutions in nonlinear equations [4]. Besides, the formalism could have some bearings to modern mathematical developments in Lagrangian field theories [26].

### Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México under a PAPIIT-IN grant. We thank D. C. Robinson (King’s College, London), R. Sussman (ICN-UNAM) and R. P. Martínez-y-Romero (FC-UNAM) for their helpful remarks or suggestions. We also thank H. Schwartzi, P. M. Zura and all her gang for lots of cheerful enthusiasm. A.L.S.-B. acknowledges the hospitality of the Department of Physics of Emory University where parts of this work were carried out. This work is dedicated to the loving memory of F. C. Bonito (1987–2002).

\* Corresponding author

1. E.T. Whittaker, *A Treatise on the Analytical Dynamics of Particles and Rigid Bodies* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1937) sect. 112
2. V.I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978) Appendix 1.
3. C. Lanczos, *The Variational Principles of Mechanics* (University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1970).
4. Y. Matsuno, *Phys. Lett. A* **285** (2001) 286; R.S. Johnson, *A Modern Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Water Waves* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
5. E.A. Jackson, *Perspectives on Nonlinear Dynamics* Vol. I (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989).
6. Ch.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, and J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Freeman, New York, 1973).
7. D.C. Robinson, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **78** (1975) 351 (and personal communication).
8. R. Carretero-González, H.N. Núñez-Yépez, and A.L. Salas-Brito, *Phys. Lett. A* **188** (1994) 48.
9. R.A. Sussman, *J. Math. Phys.* **29** (1988) 945; R.A. Sussman and L. García-Trujillo, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **19** (2002) 2897.
10. A.H. Taub, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **15** (1969) 235.
11. K.M. Case, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55** (1985) 445.
12. S. Chandrasekhar, *Principles of Stellar Dynamics* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1942) Appendix 2.
13. W.-H. Steeb, *Nonlinear Evolution Equations and the Painlevé Test* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988) especially sections 1.3–1.6.
14. H.N. Núñez-Yépez, J. Delgado, and A.L. Salas-Brito, in A. Anzaldo-Meneses, B. Bonnard, J. P. Gauthier and F. Monroy-Pérez (Eds.) *Contemporary Trends in Geometric Control Theory and its Applications* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2002) p. 405.
15. V. Jurdjevic, *Geometric Control Theory*, (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1997) Ch. 11.

16. K.M. Case, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **40** (1978) 351.
17. H.N. Núñez-Yépez and A.L. Salas-Brito, *Phys. Lett. A* **275** (2000) 218.
18. O. Amici, B. Casciaro, M. Francaviglia, *Rend. Mat.* **16** (1996) 233; B. Casciaro, M. Francaviglia, *Rend. Mat.* **16** (1996) 637; M. Ferraris, M. Francaviglia, and V. Tapia, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26** (1993) 433.
19. D. Lovelock and H. Rund, *Tensors, Differential Forms, & Variational Principles* (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1975).
20. E.N. Glass, J.N.J. Goldberg, *J. Math. Phys.* **10** (1970) 3400.
21. F.J. Ernst, *Phys. Rev.* **167** (1968) 1175.
22. W. Tichy, E.E. Flanagan, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **18** (2001) 3995.
23. G.F.R. Ellis, H. van Elst, arXiv gr-qc/9812046.
24. D. Boccaletti, G. Pucacco, *Theory of Orbits* Vol. 2, Perturbative and Geometrical Methods (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999) Ch. 11.
25. S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995) Vol. I, section 7.2.
26. G. Giachetta, L. Mangiarotti, and G. Sardanashvily, *J. Math. Phys.* **40** 1376; G. Giachetta, L. Mangiarotti, and G. Sardanashvily, *New Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Methods in Field Theory* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1997); L. Mangiarotti, and G. Sardanashvily, *Gauge Mechanics* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998).