# Ab initio study of structural, electronic and magnetic properties of iron clusters $Fe_n$ (n=2-13)

M. Samah\* and B. Moula

Condensed Matter Physics and Nanostructures Group, Faculté des Sciences de la Vie et de la Nature Université A/Mira, Bejaia Algérie, \*e-mail: madanisamah@yahoo.fr

Recibido el 22 de octubre de 2010; aceptado el 3 de febrero de 2011

Structures, binding energies, and magnetic moments of Fe<sub>n</sub> (n = 2–13) clusters have been obtained by pseudopotential density functional theory. A Troullier-Martin scheme was used to generate the iron's pseudopotential and we have successfully reproduced results (lattice constant and magnetic moment) for the bulk BCC iron. The results indicate that the magnetic moment per atom varies slowly around a mean value 3.0  $\mu_B$ /atom. With increasing atom number the mean binding energy monotonically decreases.

Keywords: Iron clusters; pseudopotentials; density functional theory; density of states.

En este trabajo se obtienen estructuras de energías de enlace y momentos magnéticos de cúmulos de Fe<sub>n</sub> (n = 2–13) mediante la teoría funcional de la densidad con pseudopotenciales. Se usó el esquema de Troullier-Martin para generar el pseudopotencial del fierro, lo que ha permitido reproducir resultados exitosamente (constante de red y momento magnético) para el fierro en configuración BCC. Los resultados indican que los momentos magnéticos por átomo varían lentamente alrededor del valor central de 3.0  $\mu_B$ /atom. Al incrementar el número atómico la energía central de enlace decae monótonamente.

Descriptores: Cúmulos de fierro; pseudopotenciales; teoría funcional de densidad; densidad de estados.

PACS: 36.40.-c; 31.15.Ew; 71.15.Mb

### 1. Introduction

Nanometer clusters are the focus of a fascinating interest in recent years because they constitute a new state of matter with new physical and chemical properties, as well as for their potential applications in a diverse range of new materials, electronic-devices and chemical sensors [1,2]. In contrast to bulk materials, the properties of nanomaterials are also correlated to their large fraction of surface atoms, or their high surface/volume ratio.

The electronic and magnetic properties of small iron clusters exhibit a large variation with cluster size. They have been targeted by several studies both experimentally and theoretically. On the experimental side, the information about iron clusters is limited. Only a few data is collected about dimer and trimer clusters [3-7]. On the theoretical side, several calculations have been performed to predict the geometries and electronic structures of iron clusters. Chen et al., [8] attributed a ferromagnetic behavior in their study of  $Fe_n$  (n from 2 to 7) clusters using the all-electron and linear combination of atomic orbitals method. For larger clusters (up to n=7), we can cite the work of Castro and Salabub [9], Ballone and Jones [10] Gutsev and Bauschlicher [11], Dieguez et al. [12] Kohler et al. [13] and S. Yu et al. [14]. The theoretical results of these authors except Fe<sub>2</sub>, agree well but there are controversies for larger clusters about the assignment of the ground-state structures.

The present research work is devoted to study the electronic and magnetic properties of iron clusters using the Siesta code based on pseudopotentials method. It will be noted that no such study was cited elsewhere in our knowledge.

## 2. Computational method

Our calculations were performed using the SIESTA [15-17] package, which implements DFT with the pseudopotential approximation and a basis set of linear combination of atomic orbital. We used the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) for the exchange-correlation functional parameterized by Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof [18]. The pseudopotentials were constructed using the Troullier and Martin scheme [19] to describe the valence electron interaction with the atomic core.

The atomic-orbital basis set employed throughout was a split-valence double zeta polarized basis for iron atom (Fe). The charge density was calculated in a regular real-space grid with a cutoff energy of 250 Ry. Structural optimizations were performed for all configuration using the conjugate gradient (CG) algorithm until the residual forces were smaller than 0.0016 Ry/Bohr. We used periodic boundary conditions and a supercell greater than  $40^3$  Å to make sure that there was no additional interaction between image clusters. For a given cluster, several geometrical configurations are generated and tested.

In order to test the pseudopotential we have firstly, calculated the lattice parameter and the magnetic moment for the bulk BCC iron. Using a k-sampling with four thousand (4000) points, we have obtained the values of 2.87 Å and 2.35  $\mu_B$  as lattice parameter and magnetic moment respectively, with good agreement with both experimental [20] and theoretical [21] data.

## 3. Results and discussion

The ground state (optimized geometries) of iron clusters,  $\text{Fe}_n$  (n $\leq$ 13), obtained in our calculations is shown on Fig. 1. Only the most relaxed geometries are illustrated below.

### Fe<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>4</sub> clusters

Fe<sub>2</sub> cluster is a complicated molecule. The bond length in iron dimer Fe<sub>2</sub> is 1.99Å, in good agreement with many other results such as those obtained by J.L. Chen *et al.* [8] using LSDA method and Calaminici [22] based on a DFT calculation. The magnetic moment equals 3.00  $\mu_B$  per atom.

There are controversies about the assignment of the ground-state structure for Fe<sub>3</sub> cluster. Most previous DFT calculations on Fe<sub>3</sub> [8-10,12,13] proposed an equilateral triangle with eight unpaired electrons as the most stable isomer. Gutsev and Bauschlicher [11] have predicted an isosceles triangle as ground state. In our computational study, we have tested all possible geometric configurations for Fe<sub>3</sub> cluster. The lowest-energy structure is the C<sub>2v</sub> structure (a distorted form of D<sub>3h</sub>) with a magnetic moment of 3.33  $\mu_B$  per atom. The two values of bond lengths are 2.07 and 2.38 Å. These results agree well with those obtained by Ma *et al* [23].

Most theoretical calculations for Fe<sub>4</sub> have predicted that the most stable isomer is a regular [8,9] or distorted [10,35,12] tetrahedron with  $\mu$ = 3.0  $\mu_B$ /atom. In the present work, we have investigated the tetrahedron structures  $D_{2d}$ ,  $D_{4h}$  and  $D_{2h}$ . The  $D_{2d}$  structure is the most stable of them. The second and the third one belong energetically above 0.14 and 0.08 eV/per atom, respectively.  $D_{2d}$  and  $D_{2h}$  exhibit a magnetic moment equal to 3.00 and the  $D_{4h}$  form gives 3.50  $\mu_B$ . Ballone and Jones [10] were the first to show that the energy can be lowered when the symmetry is broken. They found the same results by molecular dynamics simulations. Elsewhere, Yuan et al. [42], starting from a free regular tetrahedral structure, have obtained as the ground state the distorted tetrahedral structure ( $D_{2d}$  symmetry). The Jahn-Teller effects on ground state geometries of iron clusters was extensively studied by Castro [43].

In our calculations,  $D_{2d}$  ground state isomer has two short and four longer bonds of 2.19 and 2.41Å. These lengths seem to be longer than those obtained by Chen *et al.* [8] and Ballone *et al* [10]. Comparing with Fe<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>, it becomes evident that bigger cluster are favored with bigger interatomic distances and higher number of bonds [14].

## Fe<sub>5</sub> to Fe<sub>8</sub> cluster

It should be pointed out that among all the candidates for Fe<sub>5</sub>, four configurations are found and tested. The most stable of them is  $C_{2v}$  structure with a magnetic moment equal to 3.21  $\mu_B$ /atom with excellent agreement with several studies (see the Table I). Contrary to Boyakata *et al.* [40] the energy of  $D_{3h}$  is higher by 0.016 eV. They retrieved a trigonal geometry for the Fe<sub>5</sub> clusters with 1.31 eV/atom. The minimum

displacement of pair atoms is 2.49Å. The  $C_{2v}$  (distortion of  $D_{3h}$ ) is the most stable structure for Fe<sub>5</sub> with bond length of 2.34–2.41 Å and magnetic moment of 3.21  $\mu_B$ /atom.

In the case of Fe<sub>6</sub> cluster, two geometries seem to be as the most stable possible configurations. Regular octahedron (O<sub>h</sub>) structure with atomic displacement equals to 2.40 Å with good agreement with Boyakata *et al.* [25] work. The obtained results contradict the results of Ballon *et al.* [10] who found that capped trigonal bipyramid is the minimum energy structure, but agrees with that of Gutsev and Bauschlicher [11] and Dieguez *et al.* [12].

The second stable Fe<sub>6</sub> cluster is the capped trigonal bipyramid which is isoenergetic with the first configuration and agree well with references [31,13]. Both geometries exhibit a magnetic moment equal to 3.33  $\mu_B$ /atom.

Three stable structures are obtained for Fe<sub>7</sub> cluster:  $D_{2h}$ ,  $C_{3v}$  and the  $C_s$  geometries. On Table I, we saw that the bicapped trigonal bipyramids ( $D_{2h}$ ) and the capped octahedron ( $C_{3v}$ ) are above the most stable structure (the pentagonal bipyramid) ( $C_s$ ) by 0.02 and 0.4 eV, respectively. Our results for Fe<sub>7</sub> can be compared only with those obtained by Dieguez *et al.* [12] and Kohler *et al.* [13]. The bond lengths are 2.28 and 2.89Å. Similar results are obtained elsewhere [12,40,10].

To determine the stable structure of Fe<sub>8</sub>, four most probable geometries are studied. After relaxation, we have found surprisingly that there are two isoenergetic stables configuration having C<sub>s</sub> and C<sub>2v</sub> as a point groups symmetry with bond lengths of 2.27 to 2.68Å. These atomic distances seem to be shorter then those found by Dieguez *et al*, [12]. We can conclude that the C<sub>s</sub> and C<sub>2v</sub> structures are two energetic isomers with the same magnetic moment (3.00  $\mu_B$ /atom).

#### Fe<sub>9</sub> to Fe<sub>12</sub> clusters

Three most probable structures for Fe<sub>9</sub> cluster are studied. The lowest energy structure obtained is C<sub>s</sub>. The second isomer exhibits C<sub>1</sub> point group with very slightly different atomic distances (2.27-2.73Å), energetically above the most stable with 0.02 eV. The third cluster is crystallized in the C<sub>2v</sub> structure with an energy higher with 0.15 eV. All these seeds have the same magnetic moment (equal to 2.89  $\mu_B$ /atom).

For Fe<sub>10</sub>, the C<sub>1</sub> structure has the lowest energy. Three other candidates can be found having C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> symmetries, energetically less stable, and have sensitively the same magnetic moment. The results concerning the magnetic moment (3.00  $\mu_B$ /atom) are different with those obtained by Kohler *et al*, [13].

Icosahedral like structure of Fe<sub>11</sub> cluster is the lowest energy configuration (C<sub>1</sub>) with a binding energy per atom equal to 4,999 eV and an average magnetic moment of 3,25  $\mu_B$ /atom. The C<sub>2</sub> structure (which is a distortion of D<sub>4d</sub>) and another isomere with C<sub>1</sub> symmetry seem to be a probable geometry of the Fe<sub>11</sub> cluster. Both clusters have the same value of magnetic moment (2.91  $\mu_B$ /atom). This value is bigger than those obtained by Pastor *et al.* [29], Kohler [13] and Bobadova *et al.* [28].

n	Cluster	SG	bond lengths	Comparison with	Binding Energy	Magnetic	Comparison with
				other works		moment/atom	other works
	Fe2		1.99	$2.09^{32}, 1.98^8,$	-1552.83	3.00	$3.00^{28,29,31,13,34}$
2				$1.96^{41}, 2.01^{22},$			
				$2.02^{34}$ , $2.00^{41}$ ;			
				$2.03^{31}$			
	Fe3-a	C2v	2.07 - 2.38	$2.10^{41}, 2.60^{31}$	-2330.96	3.33	$2.67^{28,31,13}$ ,
3	Fe3-b	C2v	2.07 - 2.39	2.31-2.18 <sup>22</sup> ,	-2330.96	3.33	$2.33^{29}, 3.53^{32};$
	Fe3-c	C2v	2.2 - 2.36	$2.28 - 2.27^{34}$	-2330.94	3.28	$1.87 - 3.33^{34}$
	Fe4-a	D2d	2.19 - 2.41	$2.28 - 2.18^{28}$	-3110.08	3.00	
4	Fe4-b	D4h	2.28	$2.22^{41}$ ,	-3109.52	3.50	$3.00^{28,29,31,13}$ ,
	Fe4-c	D2h	2.22-2.43	2.22-2.61 <sup>41</sup> ,	-3109.76	3.00	$3.01^{32}, 3.5^{34}$
				2.23-2.63 <sup>41</sup> ,			
				$3.07^{31}$ ,			
				$2.52^{33}$ ,			
				$2.28^{22}$ , $2.30^{34}$			
	Fe5-a	C4v	2.31 - 2.44	$2.43 - 2.37^{34}$	-3889.32	3.56	$3.20^{28}, 3.00^{29},$
5	Fe5-b	D3h	2.32 - 2.63	$2.49^{33}$ ,	-3889.44	3.60	$2.8^{13}, 3.31^{32};$
	Fe5-c	C2v	2.30 - 2.77		-3889.52	3.21	$3.41^{34}$
	Fe5-d	D3h	2.36-2.54		-3889.46	3.56	
	Fe6-a	D2h	2.30 - 2.70	$2.48^{33}$	-4669.68	3.33	$3.33^{28,13}$
6							$3.00^{29}$
	Fe6-b	Oh	2.40 - 2.41		-4669.67	3.33	$3.30^{32}$
	Fe7-a	D2h	2.28 - 2.48	2.46 <sup>33</sup> ,	-5446.28	3.07	
7	Fe7-b	C3v	2.26 - 2.67	$2.24 - 2.52^{28}$	-5449.29	3.14	$3.14^{28}, 3.00^{29},$
	Fe7-c	Cs	2.28 - 2.89		-5449.45	3.14	$3.14^{13,32}$
	Fe8-a	Cs	2.27 - 2.68		-6229.19	3.00	
							$3.00^{28,13,29}$
8	Fe8-b	C2v	2.28 - 2.69		-6229.19	3.00	
	Fe8-c	C2	2.23 - 2.67		-6228.06	3.00	
	Fe8-d	Oh	2.30		-6226.41	3.00	
	Fe9-a	C1	2.27 - 2.73		-7007.96	2.89	
9							$2.89^{28,13}, 2.33^{29}$
	Fe9-b	C2v	2.22 - 2.80		-7007.83	2.89	
	Fe9-c	Cs	2.28 - 2.71		-7007.98	2.89	
	Fe10-a	C2	2.28 - 2.76	$2.25 - 2.48^{28}$	-7787.28	3.00	
				$2.27 - 2.61^{28}$			
10 11	Fe10-b	C1	2.31 - 2.78		-7787.24	3.00	$2.80^{28,13}$
	Fe10-c	D2	2.28 - 2.85		-7787.20	3.02	
	Fe10-d	C1	2.33 - 2,70		-7798.41	3.00	
	Fe11-a	C1	2.33 - 2.75		-8567.08	2.91	
	Fe11-b	C2	2.31 - 2.69		-8567.11	2.91	$2.73^{28,13}, 3.00^{29}$
	Fe11-c	C1	2,32 - 2,80		-8579.00	3,25	
12	Fe12-a	D2h	2.35 - 2.63		-9346.27	2.83	
	Fe12-b	C1	2.21 - 2.48		-9339.22	3.00	$2.67^{28,13}$
	Fe12-c	D2h	2,33 – 2,77		-9359.28	3,17	
	Fe13-a	C2v	2.29 - 2.71		-10126.27	2.92	
13	Fe13-b	C1	2.27 - 2.70		-10125.69	2.92	$2.62^{28,13}, 2.54^{29}$
	Fe13-c	C2	2,33 - 2,66		-10140.33	3,07	



FIGURE 1.Structural sketches and their symmetries for Fe<sub>n</sub> (n = 2–13) clusters.



FIGURE 2. Variation of the magnetic moment per atom with the number of atoms in iron clusters

The lowest energy structure obtained for Fe<sub>12</sub> has the D<sub>2h</sub> symmetry which is a distortion of I<sub>h</sub>. It is necessary to note that this distortion has completely lifted the fivefold degeneracy of the HOMO state, though the binding energy does not show much reduction [14]. The value of magnetic moment is about 3,17  $\mu_B$ /atom.

For Fe<sub>13</sub>, there are many potential modes for constructing the cluster: icosahedral, hexagonal, cuboctahedral and decahedral isomers. The C<sub>2</sub> structure seems to be the most stable. Atomic distances are between 2.33 and 2.66Å and the magnetic moment is 3,07  $\mu_B$ /atom, exactly the same value obtained by Aguilera-Granja *et al* [44].

In Fig. 2 we present the mean magnetic moments of Fe<sub>n</sub> (n = 2–13) clusters versus number of atoms in the cluster. The diagram shows only small variations in the magnetic moment per atom over this size range and shows that the magnetic moment remains in the vicinity of 3.0  $\mu$ B/atom [36,37]. Billas *et al.* [36,37] in Stern–Gerlach deflection experiments indicated that the magnetic moment of small Fe<sub>n</sub> clusters was around 3.0  $\mu$ B/atom and decreased to about the bulk value. We can understand this large value of n from geometrical effects as follows: increasing interatomic spacing enhances magnetic moments and increasing coordination number has the reverse effect as a function of the cluster size [38-40].

In Fig. 3 we present as an example the density of states of  $Fe_{13}$  cluster ( $C_{2v}$  symmetry).Both spin curves (sp and d orbitals) are illustrated in this figure with a well visible energy shift. One can directly see that the mean contribution of the total DOS becomes from d orbitals. Also the DOS curve is mainly shaped from this orbital.

Electronic composition of the different peaks was investigated. We have performed a Mulliken analysis per eigenstate, atom and basis function. Below the fermi level located at -1.24 eV, the total DOS is mainly composed by  $3d_{xy}$ ,  $3d_{yz}$ ,  $3d_{z2}$ ,  $3d_{xz}$  and  $3d_{x2-y2}$  functions. Above the Fermi level, the DOS is predominantly composed by the sp orbitals.



FIGURE 3. Total and partial electronic densities of states (DOS and PDOS) for Fe13 cluster. The minority spin DOS is drawn in opposite direction than the majority spins DOS. The literature usually report several results on Fe<sub>7</sub> and its electronic properties. For comparison, the Fe<sub>7</sub> Dos is shown bellow (on Fig. 4). Adding the spin contributions (up+down) we obtain sensitively the same DOS spectra obtained by Wang *et al* [45] and Castro [43].



FIGURE 4. Total electronic densities of states for Fe7 cluster (down and up spins are shown)

## 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied the Fe<sub>n</sub> (n = 2–13) clusters using the DFT based on pseudopotential method. All possible configurations are investigated and the ground states are depicted and agree well with several precedent works. We note also that the same cluster with different geometries can exhibit the same magnetic moment and the same energy. Electronic study shows that only small variations in the magnetic moment per atom over this size range, is obtained and the magnetic moment remains in the vicinity of 3.0  $\mu_B$ /atom [36,37]. With increasing number of atoms, the mean binding energy monotonically decreases. Mullikan analysis demonstrates that below the fermi level, the total DOS is mainly composed by  $3d_{xy}$ ,  $3d_{yz}$ ,  $3d_{z2}$ ,  $3d_{xz}$  and  $3d_{x2-y2}$  orbitals. Above the Fermi level, the sp orbital dominates the DOS.

171

- 1. S. Link and M.A. El-Sayed, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 54 (2003) 331.
- 2. J. Bansmann et al., Surf. Sci. Rep. 56 (2005) 189.
- 3. P.A. Montano, Sol. Stat. Comm. 35 (1980) 53.
- H. Purdum, P.A. Montano, G.K. Shenoy, and T. Morrison, *Phys. Rev. B.* 25 (1982) 4412.
- 5. M. Moskovits and D.P.J. Dilella, Chem. Phys. 73 (1980) 4917.
- E.M. Nour, C. Alfaro-Franco, K.A. Gingerich, and J.J. Laane, *Chem.Phys.* 86 (1987) 4779.
- T.L. Haslett, K.A. Bosnick, S. Fedrigo, and M. Moskowits, J. Chem. Phys. 111 (1999) 6456.
- J.L. Chen, C.S. Wang, K.A. Jackson, and M.R. Pederson, *Phys. Rev. B.* 44 (1991) 6558.
- 9. M. Castro and D.R. Salahub, Phys. Rev. B. 49 (1994) 11842.
- 10. P. Ballone and R.O. Jones, Chem. Phys. Lett. 233 (1995) 632.
- G.L. Gutsev and C.W. Bauschlicher Jr., J. Phys. Chem. A 107 (2003) 7013.
- O. Dieguez, M.M.G. Alemany, C. Rey, P. Ordejon, and L.J. Gallego, *Phys. Rev. B* 63 (2001) 205407.
- C. Kohler, G. Seifert, and T. Frauenheim, *Chem. Phys.* **309** (2005) 23.
- S. Yu, S. Chen, W. Zhang, L. Yu, and Y. Yin, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 446 (2007) 217.
- 15. P. Ordejon, E. Artacho, and M. Soler, *Phys. Rev. B* **53** (1996) 10441.
- D. Sanchez-Portal, P. Ordejon, E. Artacho, and J.M. Soler, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* 65 (1997) 53.
- 17. J.M. Soler *et al.*, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **14** (2002) 2745; (and references therein).
- J.P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhorf, *Phys. Rev. Lett* 77 (1996) 3865.
- 19. N. Troullier and J.L. Martin, Phys. Rev. B. 43 (1991) 1993.
- 20. J.P. Wang and H.L. Luo, J. Appl. Phys. 75 (1994) 7425.
- 21. V. M. Garcia-Suarez et al., Eur. Phys. J. B. 40 (2004) 371.
- 22. P. Calaminici, Chem. Phys. Lett. 387 (2004) 253.
- Q.M. Ma, Z. Xie, J. Wang, Y. Liu, and Y-C. Li, Sol. Stat. Comm. 142 (2007) 114.

- 24. T. Oda, A. Pasquarello, and R. Car, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** (1998) 3622.
- 25. M. Boyakata et al., Compd. 403 (2005) 349.
- O. Dieguez, R.C. Longo, C. Rey, and L.J. Gallego, *Eur. Phys. J. D.* 7 (1999) 573.
- 27. V.G. Grigoryan and M. Springborg, *Phys. Rev. B* **70** (2004) 205415.
- 28. P. Bobadova-Parvanova et al., J. Chem. Phys 116 (2002) 3576.
- 29. G.M. Pastor, J. Dorantes-D vila, and K.H. Bennemann, *Phys. Rev. B.* **40** (1989) 7642.
- J. Akola, H. Hakkinen, and M. Manninen, *Phys. Rev. B* 58 (1998) 3601.
- 31. O.B. Christensen and M.L. Cohen, *Phys. Rev. B.* **47** (1993) 13643.
- 32. X.G. Gong and Q.Q. Zheng, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 7 (1995) 2421.
- M. Böyükata, E. Borges, J.P. Braga, J.C. Belchior, and J. Alloys, *Compd* 403 (2005) 349.
- 34. V.M. Garcia-Suarez, C.M. Newman, C.J. Lambert, J.M. Pruneda, and J. Ferrer, *Eur. Phys. J. B.* **40** (2004) 371.
- 35. A.N. Andriotis and M. Menon, Phys. Rev. B. 57 (1998) 10069.
- I.M.L. Billas, J.A. Becker, A. Chatelain, and W.A. de Heer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993) 4067.
- I.M.L. Billas, A. Chatelain, and W.A. de Heer, *Science* 265 (1994) 1682.
- 38. C. Lee, W. Yang, and R.G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B. 37 (1988) 785.
- A. Vega, C. Demangeat, H. Dreysse, and A. Chouairi, *Phys. Rev. B.* **51** (1995) 11546.
- 40. Y.C. Bae, H. Osanai, V. Kumar, and Y. Kawazoe, *Phys. Rev. B* **70** (2004) 195413.
- 41. M. Castro and D.R. Salahub, Phys. Rev. B. 47 (1993) 10955.
- 42. S. Yuan, Y. Kong, F. Wen, and F. Li, J. Phys: Condens Matter 19 (2007) 466203.
- 43. M. Castro, Int. J. of Quantum Chemistry 64 (1997) 223.
- 44. F. Aguilera-Granja, A. García-Fuente, and A. Vega, *Phys. Rev. B* **78** (2008) 134425.
- 45. L.-S. Wang, Chem. Phys. 262 (2000) 223.