Complex Fourier demodulation approach for the dual rotation polarizer-analyzer polarimeter
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In this research, we propose a demodulation algorithm for the dual rotation polarizer-analyzer polarimeter. The proposal retrieves the partial Mueller matrix from the complex coefficients, theoretically calculated from the Fourier transform of the output intensity. As calibration parameters, the initial orientations of the polarizer-analyzer are used. Experimental results for air and a rotating dichroic film polarizer show our proposal’s feasibility.
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1. Introduction

Polarimetry is an experimental technique focused on determining the optical properties of samples by analyzing the polarization response of the light reflected or transmitted by a sample. It has been helpful to develop new measurement systems; for example, in the last decade, the atmospheric sensing field has used polarization measurements to characterize the pollution particles in the environment [1,2] and sense climate variations [3]. In remote sensing detection, polarized light also analyzes reflective objects such as metals, and glasses, among other materials [4]. In the biomedical field, it has been proposed as a marker to identify cancerous tissue in its early stages [5]. In the ophthalmic field, researchers combine polarization with OCT techniques for retinal imaging implementations [6,7].

Several designs of polarimeters can be found in the literature, for example, by using a dual rotating retarder configuration [8,9], employing phase modulators [10], or liquid crystal retarders [11,12]. The dual rotating retarder configuration is a well-known polarimeter composed of a fixed polarizer, analyzer, and two rotating linear retarders [9,13-15]. The dual rotating retarder system measures the complete Mueller matrix by analyzing the frequency response obtained through the rotation of the retarders.

The Mueller matrix decomposition algorithms separate the information into three parameters associated with the sample’s physical properties as diattenuation, retardance, and depolarization [16-18]. Diattenuation describes the polarization dependence of attenuating the light, which helps to describe scattering and chirality information. Retardance represents the phase variation dependence where its circularity is useful for glucose measurements, and its linearity is associated with stress analysis. Depolarization is the ability to maintain the polarization properties of the light, and its commonly used for cancer detection [5-7].

Another type of polarimeter employs a rotating polarizer and analyzer without linear retarders. Azzam R.M.A firstly proposed this polarimeter [19], where he showed theoretically the feasibility of the implementation and its capabilities to retrieve the partial Mueller matrix and Jones matrix coefficients through common transformations. Later, several authors followed Azzam’s approach to retrieve the ellipticity parameter through the Jones Matrix approximation, mainly applied for thin film analysis [20-22] at several wavelength regions [23]. Similarly, other authors used the Jones matrix approach to retrieve ellipticity phase information [24,25], which was improved later by adding a compensator to the system [26]. Although several authors proposed the rotating polarizer-analyzer system, the analysis from the complex
Fourier coefficients, as far as we know, which is the main objective of our research, has not been carried out.

The main goal of our proposal is to consider the complex Fourier transform coefficients of the intensity signal to retrieve the partial Mueller matrix and take the initial angles as calibration parameters. The paper first explains the demodulation algorithm continuing with experimental results of our implementation and ending with our conclusions. The approach presented in this paper can improve other polarimetric systems by considering the theoretical response of the Fourier transform of the output intensity.

2. Complex Fourier demodulation algorithm

The approach for retrieving the partial Mueller matrix using a dual rotating polarizer-analyzer is composed firstly of a polarization state generator with a light source working at wavelength $\lambda$, a linear polarizer $LP(0^\circ)$ oriented at angle $0^\circ$ (used as an orientation reference) and a linear polarizer $LP(\theta + \varepsilon_1)$, rotating at rate $4\theta$ with an initial angle at $\varepsilon_1$. The polarization state detection unit consists of a linear polarizer $LP(\theta + \varepsilon_2)$ rotating at a rate $4\theta$ with an initial angle of $\varepsilon_2$ and an intensity detector that could be a camera or a photodetector. The sample is mathematically represented as a Mueller matrix with 16 coefficients, but as we are employing two rotating polarizers, only a partial Mueller matrix can be retrieved. Figure 1 shows the diagram and the theoretical parameters involved in the system.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** Rotating polarizer-analyzer polarimeter composed of a light source; a polarizer $LP(0^\circ)$ oriented at $0^\circ$ used as an orientation reference; a rotating polarizer $LP(\theta + \varepsilon_1)$ at rate $\theta$ and initial angle $\varepsilon_1$; a rotating analyzer $LP(4\theta + \varepsilon_2)$ at rate $4\theta$ and initial angle $\varepsilon_2$; and an intensity detector that could be a camera or a photodetector.

The Mueller matrix of a linear polarizer $LP(\theta)$ for a given angle $\theta$ is represented as [17,18].

$$LP(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \cos(2\theta) & \sin(2\theta) & 0 \\
\cos(2\theta) & \cos^2(2\theta) & \cos(2\theta)\sin(2\theta) & 0 \\
\sin(2\theta) & \cos(2\theta)\sin(2\theta) & \sin^2(2\theta) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},$$

while a general Mueller matrix ($MM$) is given by

$$MM = \begin{pmatrix}
m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} \\
m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} & m_{24} \\
m_{31} & m_{32} & m_{33} & m_{34} \\
m_{41} & m_{42} & m_{43} & m_{44}
\end{pmatrix},$$

(2)

Considering non-polarized light as input, $S_{in} = [S_0, 0, 0, 0]^T$ where $S_0$ represents the total incoming intensity. The output Stokes vector, $S_{out}$, is

$$S_{out} = LP(4\theta + \varepsilon_2) \cdot MM \cdot LP(\theta + \varepsilon_1) \cdot LP(0) \cdot S_{in}.$$  

(3)

The total detected intensity, $I_{out}$ is the first element of $S_{out}$ calculated as

$$I_{out}(\theta) = \frac{S_0}{16} \left[ 2(m_{11} + m_{12}) + 2(m_{11} + m_{12})\cos(2\varepsilon_1 + 2\theta) + 2m_{13}\sin(2\varepsilon_1 + 2\theta) + m_{12}\cos(4\varepsilon_1 + 4\theta) \\
+ m_{13}\cos(4\varepsilon_1 + 4\theta) + (2m_{21} + m_{22})\cos(2\varepsilon_2 + 8\theta) + (2m_{31} + m_{32})\sin(2\varepsilon_2 + 8\theta) + (m_{21} + m_{22} - m_{33})\times \cos(2(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + 10\theta) + (m_{23} + m_{33})\sin(2(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + 10\theta) + \frac{1}{2}(m_{22} - m_{33})\cos((2(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) \\
+ 12\theta) + \frac{1}{2}(m_{32} + m_{23})\sin((2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + 12\theta) + \frac{1}{2}(m_{22} + m_{33})\cos((2\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) - 4\theta) + \frac{1}{2}(m_{23} - m_{31} - m_{32})\sin((2\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) - 6\theta) \\
+ (m_{23} - m_{31} - m_{32})\sin((2\varepsilon_1 - 2\varepsilon_2) - 6\theta) \right].$$

(4)
We can take each element of the Mueller matrix of the sample as $m_{ij}$, $\theta$ is related to the rotation rate of the polarizer and $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ are their initial angle used for calibration purposes. The detected signal modulated by the rotation rates of the polarizer-analyzer consist of a series of harmonics. The typical approach for retrieving the sample information is employing the Fourier series to calculate each coefficient of the sine-cosine terms in Eq. 4. In our proposal, we apply the Fourier transformation to the output signal to operate directly with the complex coefficients. The Fourier transform of the output intensity is composed of frequency-shifted Dirac delta functions where its complex coefficients are used for the demodulation. By considering the Fourier transform of a cosine function as

$$F\{\cos(\beta \pm n\theta)\}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}[e^{\pm i\beta} \delta(\omega-n)+e^{\pm i\beta} \delta(\omega+n)],$$

$$F\{\sin(\beta \pm n\theta)\}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}[e^{\pm i\beta} \delta(\omega-n)-e^{\pm i\beta} \delta(\omega+n)],$$

where $\beta$ is the initial angle, $n$ is the harmonic coefficient, $\delta(\omega \pm n)$ is the shifted Dirac delta function and $\omega$ the frequency domain. The Fourier transform of the intensity-detected $I_{\text{out}}(\omega)$ at Eq. 4 can be obtained as

$$I_{\text{out}}(\omega) = \frac{S_0}{16} \sum_{k=-6}^{6} C_{2k} \delta(\omega + 2k),$$

where $C_{2k}$ represents the complex coefficient dependent on the sample information and the initial angle of the polarizer $\varepsilon_1$ and analyzer $\varepsilon_2$. The function $\delta(\omega + 2k)$ represents the Dirac delta function displaced at each 2k frequency order. Table I shows each complex coefficient, and it is worth mentioning that the initial angle of the polarizer-analyzer represents a constant phase variation.

One of the advantages of our proposal is that it is possible to isolate the initial angles of the polarizer and analyzer $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ as they behave as a common phase value in each complex Fourier coefficient. In this manner, the complex coefficients without initial angle information are

$$\alpha_{\pm 2} = \frac{1}{2} (e^{-2i\varepsilon_1} C_{+2} \pm e^{2i\varepsilon_1} C_{-2}),$$

$$\alpha_{\pm 6} = \frac{1}{2} (e^{-2i(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1)} C_{+6} \pm e^{2i(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1)} C_{-6}),$$

$$\alpha_{\pm 8} = \frac{1}{2} (e^{-2i\varepsilon_2} C_{+8} \pm e^{2i\varepsilon_2} C_{-8}),$$

$$\alpha_{\pm 10} = \frac{1}{2} (e^{-2i(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)} C_{+10} \pm e^{2i(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)} C_{-10}),$$

$$\alpha_{\pm 12} = \frac{1}{2} (e^{-2i(2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)} C_{+12} \pm e^{2i(2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)} C_{-12}).$$

As we are employing two rotating polarizers, we could retrieve a partial Mueller matrix where its coefficients are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I. Complex coefficients obtained from the Fourier transform of the output signal of the polarimeter.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_0 = (2m_{11} + m_{12})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{-2} = e^{-2i\varepsilon_1} (m_{11} + m_{12} - im_{13})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{-4} = \frac{1}{4} e^{-2i(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)} (2e^{-2i\varepsilon_2} (m_{12} + im_{13}))$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$+ e^{8i\varepsilon_1} (m_{22} - im_{23} + im_{32} + m_{33})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{-6} = \frac{1}{4} e^{2i(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)} (m_{21} + m_{22} - im_{23} + im_{31} + m_{32} + m_{33})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{-8} = \frac{1}{4} e^{-2i\varepsilon_2} (2(m_{21} + m_{22} + i(2m_{31} + m_{32}))$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{-10} = \frac{1}{4} e^{-2i(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)} (m_{21} + m_{22} - m_{33}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$+ i(m_{23} + m_{31} + m_{32})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{-12} = \frac{1}{4} e^{-2i(2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)} (m_{21} - m_{33} + i(m_{23} + m_{32})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{+2} = e^{2i\varepsilon_1} (m_{11} + m_{12} + im_{13})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{+4} = \frac{1}{4} e^{2i(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)} (2e^{2i\varepsilon_2} (m_{12} - im_{13}))$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$+ e^{-8i\varepsilon_1} (m_{22} + im_{23} - im_{32} + m_{33})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{+6} = \frac{1}{4} e^{2i(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)} (m_{21} + m_{22} - im_{23} - im_{31} - m_{32} + m_{33})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{+8} = \frac{1}{4} e^{2i\varepsilon_2} (2(m_{21} + m_{22} - i(2m_{31} + m_{32}))$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{+10} = \frac{1}{4} e^{2i(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)} (m_{21} + m_{22} - m_{33}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$- i(m_{23} + m_{31} + m_{32})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{+12} = \frac{1}{4} e^{2i(2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)} (m_{22} - m_{33} - i(m_{23} + m_{32})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table II. Mueller matrix obtained with no-sample conditions non-compensated $(M_{nc})$, and the Mueller matrix compensated $(M_{c})$; additionally, the square error compared with the ideal Mueller matrix.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Compensated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{nc} =$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| $\begin{pmatrix}
1.000 & -0.835 & 0.550 & X \\
0.016 & 0.282 & 0.456 & X \\
-0.017 & -0.437 & -0.370 & X \\
X & X & X & X
\end{pmatrix}$ | $\begin{pmatrix}
1.000 & -0.025 & 0.000 & X \\
-0.032 & 1.029 & 0.003 & X \\
-0.014 & 0.028 & 0.986 & X \\
X & X & X & X
\end{pmatrix}$ | |
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Therefore, the calibration parameters are

\[ \tan(2\varepsilon_1) = -\frac{i(C_{\text{air}+2} - C_{\text{air}-2})}{C_{\text{air}+2} + C_{\text{air}-2}}, \]
\[ \tan(2\varepsilon_2) = -\frac{i(C_{\text{air}+8} - C_{\text{air}-8})}{C_{\text{air}+8} + C_{\text{air}-8}}. \] (9)

As a result, we can retrieve the partial Mueller matrix considering the complex Fourier coefficients directly where the initial angles of the polarizer and analyzer need to be measured beforehand, and they are a constant phase variation on the Fourier space.

3. Experimental results

To check the feasibility of our proposal, we built an experimental setup based on the dual rotating polarizer-analyzer polarimeter as presented in Fig. 1. The system utilizes a He-Ne laser light source working at 632.8 nm (Thorlabs-HNLS008L); as a first polarizer and orientation reference, we used a Wollaston prism to produce s-polarized light (Thorlabs-WP10) with a 20° beam separation and extinction ratio of 1:100,000 according to the manufacturer. For the polarizer and analyzer components, we employed two dichroic film polarizers mounted on a motorized rotation device (Thorlabs-K10CR1) and as an intensity detector, a USB power meter (Thorlabs-PM16-121) composed of a silicon photodiode sensor. The system was aligned and built according to the 30 mm Thorlabs optical cage system.

We obtained the partial Mueller matrix with no-sample conditions for the first set of experiments, where the polar-
Table III. Root Mean Square Errors between the experimental and simulated value of the rotating dichroic film polarizer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Root Mean Square Errors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M_{RMSE} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 &amp; 0.028 &amp; 0.019 &amp; X \ 0.080 &amp; 0.128 &amp; 0.052 &amp; X \ 0.077 &amp; 0.064 &amp; 0.038 &amp; X \ X &amp; X &amp; X &amp; X \end{pmatrix}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By comparing each coefficient $(i,j)$ of the expected, $m_{\text{exp}}$ and measured, $m_{\text{meas}}$, Mueller matrix obtained after initial angle calibration.

In the second experiment, we considered a sample that varies all the Mueller matrix coefficients; in this manner, our sample was a dichroic film polarizer rotated within a range of $120^\circ$ with steps of $10^\circ$. Furthermore, we placed the film in a mechanical rotation mount (Thorlabs - CRM1T). Figure 3 shows the partial Mueller matrix coefficients, asterisks corresponding to the experimental value, and the theoretical approach’s continuous line. Table III shows the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), where the $m_{13}$ coefficient presents the minimum deviation while the coefficient $m_{22}$ represents the maximum deviation of the measurement.

By considering the Lu-Chipman decomposition [16], we can analyze the angular position of the polarizer through $\tan(2\theta) = m_{13}/m_{12}$. In this case, it corresponds to the angular position of the linear diattenuation parameter. Figure 4 shows the angular variation comparing the expected against the measured value resulting in a $RMSE = 0.651^\circ$.

4. Conclusions and final remarks

We developed a demodulation algorithm for the rotating polarizer-analyzer configuration based on theoretically calculating the complex Fourier transform coefficients. We focused on retrieving the partial Mueller matrix and the initial angles of the polarizer and analyzer for calibration purposes. Considering the complex Fourier coefficients, the initial angles of the polarizer-analyzer represent a constant phase on each complex term that can be isolated and removed from the Mueller matrix coefficients. The theoretical approach presented has the potential to be implemented on other types of polarimeters. For example, by taking advantage of the complex Fourier transform coefficients on the dual rotating retarder polarimeter.
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