Finite difference calculation of optical properties of hydrogenic impurity in spherical quantum dot with parabolic confinement
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The optical properties of an electron with an impurity in a spherical quantum dot under parabolic confinement are studied and energies, wave functions, binding energies, radial matrix elements, polarizability, susceptibility and oscillator strength have been evaluated. The numerical method used is the finite difference method in the framework of the effective mass approximation. The variation of the energy levels and radial matrix elements have been studied as function of the radius of the GaAs sphere and also as function of the frequency of the harmonic oscillator potential or parabolic potential. In addition we have studied how polarizability, susceptibility and the oscillator strength vary as a function of dot radius and at different parabolic potential frequencies.
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1. Introduction

There has been a tremendous improvement in research activities on the low dimensional semiconductor quantum dots due to the advanced fabrication techniques invented for the past few decades. The study of semiconductor quantum dots and nanocrystals has been of a great interest from the experimental and theoretical point of view in recent years [1-13]. The origin of the interest lies in the size of quantization. The electron energy spectrum of an ideal quantum dot comprises a set of discrete levels. This makes the semiconductor quantum dot very important in the applications of optical and transport properties of semiconductors. The physical properties of the quantum dot are attractive not only from the fundamental scientific point of view, but also because of its potential application in the development of semiconductor optoelectronic devices [13].

Impurities in semiconductors can affect the electrical, optical, and transport properties. Understanding the nature of impurity states in semiconductor structures is a crucial problem. Usually impurities are classified as deep or shallow according to their ionization energy. Shallow impurities are defined as those impurities whose ionization energy is comparable or smaller than the thermal energy at room temperature. Shallow impurities are usually known as hydrogenic impurities since they are well described by the hydrogen atom model. The underlying assumptions behind this model are that the binding energy is small compared with the energy gap and the spatial extent of the wave function is larger than the lattice period. As a consequence, carriers have an energy close to the band edge, move with an energy-independent effective mass $m^*_e$ and see the uniform medium of the semiconductor characterized by a dielectric constant $\epsilon$ that screens the Coulomb interaction with the impurity. With the characteristic dimensions comparable to the de Broglie wavelength of electron, these structures are particularly sensitive to atomic scale variations in geometry. Thus, impurity can dramatically alter the properties of a quantum device [14].

In order to understand how a hydrogenic donor impurity affects the spectrum of a single electron in low-dimensional semiconductor structures, many researchers focused their attention on energy quantized states of the charged carriers. The study of the impurity states in semiconductor nanostructures was initiated only in the pioneering works of Bastard [15]. In spite of the growing interest in the topic of impurity doping in nanocrystallites, most of the theoretical works carried out on shallow donors in spherical quantum dots employ variational approaches [16,17] or alternatively, perturbation methods limited to the strong confinement regime using square-well barriers [18,19], while exact solution has been obtained only for centered impurities [20,21]. The binding energy of a shallow hydrogenic impurity in a spherical quantum dot with a parabolic potential shape has also been reported [22]. Dipole and quadrupole oscillator strengths also have been reported recently by Stevanovic for the hydrogenic impurity in a spherical quantum dot with an infinite confining potential [23].

The effects of hydrogenic impurity, hydrostatic pressure, temperature and geometrical parameters on optical absorption coefficients and refractive index of spherical quantum dots and raman scattering cross-sections have been reported by Karimi \textit{et al.} [24,25]. Safarpour investigated the binding energy, and optical properties of an off center donor impurity in a quantum dot embedded in a nanowire and emphasized on how orientation and distance of impurity from center can serve as good factors in fabricating desired structures...
The purpose of this work is to investigate hydrogenic impurities in spherical quantum dots characterized by the parabolic confining potentials, which have broader applications to realistic problems. The first part of the study contains the evaluation of the spectrum of hydrogenic impurity states and the range of quantum states and optical properties of hydrogenic impurity has also been studied [29].

The presence of impurities in QD’s can significantly change the localization states. For simplicity and to protect the symmetric situation the impurity can be located at the center of the dot. An electron bounded to an impurity located at the center of quantum dot behaves like a bounded three-dimensional electron when the radius of the dot is very large. However, as the dot radius is reduced, spatial confinement becomes very important. Thus, spectroscopy tools provide information about the confining properties of electrons and holes bound to hydrogenic impurities in zero dimensional nanostructures.

The purpose of this work is to investigate hydrogenic impurities in spherical quantum dots characterized by the parabolic confining potentials, which have broader applications to realistic problems. The first part of the study contains the evaluation of the spectrum of hydrogenic impurity states and the second part involves study of optical properties. The emphasis is placed on the level energies, wave functions, binding energies, radial transition elements, and optical properties of hydrogenic impurities, varying with the confining potentials and the range of quantum dot.

The Schrödinger equation is solved by finite difference method. We have calculated energy eigenvalues, eigenfunctions \( \langle \Psi_{nlm} | \cos \theta | \Psi_{n'l'm'} \rangle \) and also the coupling matrix elements \( \langle \Psi_{nlm} | r^2 \cos^2 \theta | \Psi_{n'l'm'} \rangle \) for calculating the dipolar polarizability and also \( \langle \Psi_{nlm} | r^2 | \Psi_{nlm} \rangle \) for calculating the susceptibility of the hydrogenic impurity. Another practical quantity in the study of optical properties is oscillator strength. The oscillator strength gives us information about magnitude of the absorption i.e., the amount of the oscillator strength is directly proportional to the absorption coefficient. In the work we have reported how oscillator strength varies with confinement potential and dot size. A large number of researchers have recently investigated these optical properties and found out how these can be tuned with different dot geometries, size and confinement parameters [30-35].

2. Theory

Within the framework of effective-mass approximation, the Hamiltonian of a center hydrogenic donor confined by a spherical QD with a parabolic potential can be written by

\[
H = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*_e} \nabla^2 + V(r) - \frac{\gamma e^2}{er} \tag{1}
\]

where the hydrogenic impurity is located at the center of the QD, \( e \) is the charge of the electron, \( r \) is the position vector of the electron originating from the center of the dot, \( m^*_e \) is the effective mass of the electron, \( \gamma \) represents the impurity strength and \( V(r) \) is the parabolic confining potential in the form of

\[
V(r) = \frac{1}{2} m^*_e \omega_0^2 r^2 \tag{2}
\]

where \( \omega_0 \) is the confinement potential frequency. In our model, the Hamiltonian of single hydrogenic impurity in a spherical QD can be expressed as the sum of the original harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian term \( H_0 \) and a Coulomb interaction term \( H_1 \)

\[
H = H_0 + H_1 \tag{3}
\]

where

\[
H_0 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*_e} \nabla^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^*_e \omega_0^2 r^2 \tag{4}
\]

and

\[
H_1 = -\frac{\gamma e^2}{er} \tag{5}
\]

Using \( p = -i\hbar \nabla \) the Hamiltonian becomes

\[
H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*_e} \nabla^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^*_e \omega_0^2 r^2 - \gamma \frac{e^2}{er} \tag{6}
\]

The Schrödinger equation for the system

\[
H\Psi(r, \theta, \phi) = E\Psi(r, \theta, \phi) \tag{7}
\]

can be solved using method of separation of variables based on spherical symmetry, where \( \Psi_{nlm}(r, \theta, \phi) \) is defined as

\[
\Psi_{nlm}(r, \theta, \phi) = NR_{nl}(r)Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \tag{8}
\]

where \( N \) is the normalization coefficient, \( \Psi_{nlm}(r, \theta, \phi) \) is the complete wave function, \( R_{nl}(r) \) is the radial part, \( Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \) is the angular part of the wavefunction which corresponds to spherical harmonics and \( n, l, m \) are respectively, the radial, angular momentum and azimuthal quantum numbers. While the angular part of the wavefunction is \( Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \) for all spherically symmetric situations, the radial part varies. The equation for \( R_{nl} \) can be simplified in form by substituting \( u_{nl}(r) = rR_{nl}(r) \). Therefore

\[
\Psi_{nlm}(r, \theta, \phi) = \frac{u_{nl}(r)}{r} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi), \tag{9}
\]

Substituting Eq. (9) into the Schrödinger equation (7) and using separation of variables and simple mathematical steps the radial equation is written as:

\[
\frac{d^2 u_{nl}(r)}{dr^2} + \frac{\hbar^2 l(l + 1)}{2m^*_e r^2} u_{nl}(r) + \frac{1}{2} m^*_e \omega_0^2 r^2 - \gamma \frac{e^2}{er} u_{nl}(r) = E_{nl} u_{nl}(r) \tag{10}
\]

The energy eigenvalue \( E_{nl} \) for a particular energy state is solved numerically using the finite difference method as explained in the next section.
2.1. Energy spectra and radial matrix elements

The eigenenergies and the wavefunctions are obtained by solving the time independent Schrödinger equation for the system, using finite difference method. This is a numerical method for solving the partial differential equation (PDE) based on discretization of the Hamiltonian on a spatial grid. We have taken the grid points \( N = 1201 \) and the tolerance of 1.0e-6 is considered. Finite difference method is a simple method that gives useful and accurate results for a wide range of problems dealing with differential equations. In this method, continuous wave function of \( r \) is represented by a set of \( N \) discrete quantities and effective potential energy

\[
U_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{2m_r^* r^2} + \frac{1}{2}m_r^* \omega_0^2 r^2 - \frac{\gamma^2}{e r} \tag{11}
\]

is given by \((N-2) \times (N-2)\) diagonal matrix. Boundary condition used is \( u_0 = u_N = 0 \). For second order centered finite difference approximation

\[
u'' = \frac{u(r_{j+1}) - 2u(r_j) + u(r_{j-1})}{\Delta^2} \tag{12}
\]

\( u(r_j) \) is the eigenvector of the Schrödinger equation and \( \Delta = r_{j+1} - r_j \) is the spacing between the two neighboring discrete points. The second derivative matrix becomes:

\[
K = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \begin{bmatrix}
-2 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & -2 & 1 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & -2 \\
\end{bmatrix} \tag{13}
\]

It is a \((N-2) \times (N-2)\) matrix. Hamiltonian matrix is \([H] = [K] + [U_{\text{eff}}] \), Schrödinger equation in matrix form becomes

\[
[H]|U\rangle = [E]|U\rangle \tag{14}
\]

where \( H \) is the Hamiltonian of the hydrogenic impurity in the semiconductor quantum dot (SQD) and \( E \) is the eigenvalue. The Hamiltonian \( H \) is reduced to tridiagonal matrix and is diagonalized using standard matlab subroutines to obtain the eigenvalues and the wavefunctions of a hydrogenic impurity in a SQD. This method has been implemented in various semiconductor heterostructures to obtain the unperturbed eigenvalues and wavefunctions [36,37].

In addition we have calculated optical properties like polarizability, oscillator strengths and susceptibility for a hydrogenic impurity in SQD atom under the effect of parabolic potential.

2.2. Polarizability

When a confined system is exposed to static electric field, the electron cloud gets displaced from its equilibrium position. This induces polarization, which is an important microscopic quantity because of its established relationship with another experimentally significant macroscopic property called refractive index. The electric dipole polarizability materializes the second order response of the system in a weak electric field. From perturbation theory, using the second order energy correction, the polarizability is obtained from the sum over states formula. The static \( 2l \)-pole polarizability is given by:

\[
\alpha_l = \sum_{k \neq 0} \left| \frac{\langle \Psi_0 | l^i \cos \theta | \Psi_k \rangle}{E_k - E_0} \right|^2 \tag{15}
\]

where \( l = 1, 2, 3 \) for dipole, quadrupole and octupole cases, respectively.

2.3. Oscillator strength

Another physical quantity of practical importance in the study on the optical properties is the dimensionless oscillator strength \( P_{fi} \), which is defined by

\[
P_{fi} = \frac{2m_r^*}{\hbar^2} (E_f - E_i) \left| z_{if} \right|^2 \tag{16}
\]

where

\[
\left| z_{if} \right|^2 = \left| \int R_{n'l'}(r)R_{nl}(r)r^2 dr \times Y_{l'm'}(\theta, \phi)(\cos \theta)Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi \right|^2 \tag{17}
\]

for 1s-2p transition, \( l' = 1 \), \( l = 0 \) and \( m' = m = 0 \) the matrix element \( \left| z_{if} \right|^2 \) becomes

\[
\left| z_{if} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^\infty R_{2,1}(r)r^3 R_{1,0} dr \tag{18}
\]

and

\[
P_{fi} = \frac{2m_r^*}{3\hbar^2} (E_f - E_i) \int_0^\infty R_{2,1}(r)r^3 R_{1,0} dr \tag{19}
\]

2.4. Magnetic Susceptibility

It is fully known that the magnetic susceptibility has a key aspect on quantum mechanics, magnetism, and optics. The magnetic susceptibility indicates the degree of magnetization of a material in response to an external magnetic field. Substances with a negative magnetic susceptibility are called diamagnetic. The diamagnetic susceptibility is given by [38]

\[
\chi = -\frac{NZe^2}{6m_r^* c^2 \langle r^2 \rangle} \tag{20}
\]

In the next section we have presented the results of our calculations.
3. Results and Discussion

In this study we report a detailed theoretical investigation of the hydrogenic impurity in a spherical quantum dot under parabolic confinement. Effective atomic units are used throughout the paper. Length is expressed in terms of effective Bohr radius $a^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{\epsilon m_e^* e^2}$. Effective mass of electron $m_e^* = 0.067 m_0$ where $m_0$ is mass of free electron and $\epsilon = 12.5$. The effective Bohr radius $a^* = 100 A_0$ for GaAs. Energies, wave functions, radial matrix elements of ground states and excited states of impurity in spherical QD and optical properties are calculated.

In Fig. 1 the behaviour of radial wave function $u_{nl}(r)$ of ground and excited states has been shown for different values of harmonic potential frequency $\omega_0$. It is observed that the wave functions get modified by the presence of harmonic potential as can be seen going from Fig. 1(a) with $\omega_0 = 0$ eV to Fig. 1(c) with $\omega_0 = 0.5$ eV. Stronger is the strength of harmonic potential (Fig. 1(c)) larger is the confinement in the radial wave function.

In Fig. (2) we have shown how energies of ground state and other excited states vary as a function of the frequency $\omega_0$ of parabolic potential for different confinements ($r_0$) and zero impurity strengths ($\gamma = 0$). It is observed that energies of ground state and other excited state increases as the frequency increases. Figure 2(a) shows this variation for $r_0 = 0.5 a^*$ and $\gamma = 0$. The same variation is studied in Fig. 2(b) for larger $r_0 = 1 a^*$ and still higher in Fig. 2(c) with $r_0 = 5 a^*$. The quantum confinement becomes weak for larger $r_0$ and at large dot radius $r_0$ (Fig. 2(c)), the energy eigenvalues approach a free-space hydrogenic atom. As the confinement is decreased the spacing between the energy levels decreases.
as expected and their parabolic variation with frequency also disappears.

In Fig. (3) we explore the effect of increase in impurity strength ($\gamma$) on the energies of ground state and excited states on similar lines. We can observe that when the value of $\gamma$ is large (Fig. 3(a), $\gamma = 80$) we are getting states having negative energies and these are bound states. Also states with same 'n' and different 'l' have been found to be degenerate i.e. '2s-2p', '3s-3p-3d' and '4s-4p-4d' are seen nearly degenerate. This is due to the fact that the attractive Coulomb potential dominates over the harmonic potential leading to the reduction of the energy eigenvalues and hence degenerate negative energy states. Also the change in frequency of the harmonic potential is not affecting the energy of states significantly in this case and the curves are nearly straight lines. For smaller values of impurity strength (Fig. 3(b), $\gamma = 20$) it is seen that the effect of change in energy with change in frequency is significant and the curves are more steeper indicating a participation of the harmonic potential along with the Coulombic potential. In this case there is a mixed combination of negative and positive energy states. These results are in coherence with the two-mode model described by Gueorguiev et al. [39] and a similar competition between the confinement potential and impurity potentials can be seen in our

**Figure 3.** Variation of energies of ground state and excited states as a function of parabolic potential frequency $\omega_0$ at different impurity strength ($\gamma$) and constant dot radius. (a) $r_0 = 0.5a^*$, $\gamma = 80$ (b) $r_0 = 0.5a^*$, $\gamma = 20$ (c) $r_0 = 0.5a^*$, $\gamma = 3.5$.

**Figure 4.** Variation of energies of ground state and excited states with radius $r_0$ of the dot at impurity strength $\gamma = 0$ and different parabolic potential frequencies (a) $\omega_0 = 0$ eV and (b) $\omega_0 = 1$ eV.
results. These results have been further extended to figure out a critical value of $\gamma = \gamma_c$ above which negative energy states emerge and this critical value has been found to be 3.5. In the Fig. 3(c) the energy of different states is plotted for the critical value of $\gamma_c = 3.5$ and there are only positive energy states present indicating that the results are dominated by the harmonic potential over the Coulombic potential. Thus for $\gamma_c \leq 3.5$ only positive energy states exist.

In Fig. 4 we have shown how energies of ground state and other excited states vary as a function of radius of the dot ($r_0$) for different values of frequency of parabolic potential ($\omega_0$) and zero impurity strength ($\gamma$). It is observed that the energy of all the states is decreased as the size of the dot increased for all energy levels due to the fact that the quantum confinement on the electron relaxes with the enlarging size of the dot. Also these states approach each other for large value of dot radius, which is also explained by other authors [40,41]. As the dot radius is increased, it can be seen that the constancy occurs for all states at different dot radii. This limiting value corresponds to the case where the electron is not confined anymore. Figure 4(a) shows the variation of excited state energies for $\omega_0 = 0$ eV and $\gamma = 0$ (absence of impurity). The same is studied at a higher $\omega_0 = 1$ eV and $\gamma = 0$ (Fig. 4(b)). In the strong confinement region, the maxima of the energies are relatively insensitive to the parabolic potential. The effect of higher frequency of parabolic potential can be seen only at higher $r_0$ as for smaller values of $r_0$ the Coulombic interaction is more dominating.

Figure 5. Variation of binding energies of ground state and excited states with radius $r_0$ of the dot at parabolic potential frequencies (a) $\omega_0 = 0.5$ eV and (b) $\omega_0 = 1$ eV.

Figure 6. Variation of radial matrix elements of ground state and excited states as a function of parabolic potential frequency $\omega_0$ for different dot radii ($r_0$) and impurity strength ($\gamma$). (a) $r_0 = 0.5a^*$, $\gamma = 0$, (b) $r_0 = 1a^*$, $\gamma = 0$, (c) $r_0 = 0.5a^*$, $\gamma = 50$. 
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In Fig. (5) we have calculated the binding energies of different states as a function of radius of the dot \(r_0\). The binding energy of a hydrogenic impurity is known as the difference between the energy states without impurity \(\gamma=0\) and with impurity \(\gamma=1\) for a particular state. Figure 5(a) shows the binding energies with \(r_0=0.5\) eV and in Fig. 5(b) the same is studied at a higher frequency \(\omega_0=1\) eV. As seen the binding energy decreases with \(r_0\) as expected. Also the binding energy for a particular state is also dependent on the frequency of the harmonic potential. As \(r_0\) increases the binding energy approach a constant value and this constant value is higher for higher \(\omega_0\). Similar results are also reported by Yakar et al. [27].

Next, we have calculated the radial matrix elements which are defined as \(\langle R_n | r | R_{n'} \rangle\). These are very important in defining the effect of external fields on the system. In Fig. (6) we have shown how radial matrix elements between ground state and other excited states vary as a function of the parabolic potential frequency for different confinements and different impurity strength. The radial matrix elements decrease as the frequency is increased. Figure 6(a) shows this variation for \(r_0=0.5\) a.u. and \(\gamma=0\). The same variation is studied in Fig. 6(b) but for weaker confinement i.e. larger \(r_0=1\) a\(^*\). The attractive Coulomb potential is dominant at small \(r_0\) (stronger confinement) and this leads to reduction of spatial extension of wave function and thus smaller values of radial matrix elements (Fig. 6(a)). As the confinement is weakened the magnitude of the radial matrix elements increases largely (Fig. 6(b)). In Fig. 6(c) we have explored the effect of increasing the impurity strength and we observed that the magnitude of some of the radial matrix elements increases where as of others decreases due to the mixed role of attractive Coulomb potential and harmonic potential.

In Fig. 7 we have reported variation of radial matrix elements of ground state and other excited states as a function of dot radius at different frequencies and at different impurity strength. Figure 7(a) shows the radial matrix elements as function of dot radius in the absence of impurity \(\gamma=0\) at a frequency \(\omega_0=0\) eV. These are constantly increasing functions of dot radii in the absence of harmonic potential and impurity as expected. An increase in the \(r_0\) will also mean a radial spread in the probability density of electron. Figure 7(b) to 7(d) shows the radial matrix elements for different frequencies \(\omega_0=0.5\) eV (Fig. 7(b)), \(\omega_0=1\) eV (Fig. 7(c)) both in the absence of impurity \(\gamma=0\) and in the presence of impurity \(\gamma=1\) and \(\omega_0=0.5\) eV in Fig. 7(d). It can be seen that as \(r_0\) increases the radial matrix elements first increases and achieve a constant value at a particular dot radii and this limiting value is different for different states and is also dependent upon the frequency of the harmonic potential. Also the effect of presence of impurity is apparent only at higher values of \(r_0\).

The next set of figures is for the study of optical properties.

We have calculated the 2\(l\)-pole static polarizability of the system for \(l=1\) for various harmonic potential frequencies as a function of \(r_0\). The effect of parabolic potential on such polarizabilities has been explored by considering four values of \(\omega_0=0, 0.5\) ev, 0.8 eV and 1 eV and their variation with dot radius is given in Fig. 8. It is observed that the polarizability increases as dot radius increases and becomes constant at higher \(r_0\). However at higher frequencies its value is smaller than at lower frequency. Since static polarizability is one of the very important experimentally measurable properties, the study of such variation is very crucial for predicting the be-

![Figure 7](image-url)
The diamagnetic susceptibility of a hydrogenic impurity as a function of \( r_0 \) has been shown in Fig. 9. It is observed from the figure that the diamagnetic susceptibility decreases from a maximum value as the radius increases. For higher frequency of harmonic potential the diamagnetic susceptibility decreases more slowly. This indicates that there is a strong influence of the confining potential and dimensions of the dot on the diamagnetic susceptibility. Similar results using different shapes and potentials have been reported by [42,43].

In Fig. 10 we have investigated how the oscillator strengths (1s-2p) varies with the dot radius and study how these get modified with the presence or absence of impurity at different frequencies of harmonic potential. From figure one can easily see that at the given radius (about \( a = 2a^* \)), \( P_{fs} \) displays a maximum and obtains the major portion of 1 (larger than 0.965), so at this given radius the other transition probabilities have a very small portion of 1 and tend toward 0. In addition as the dot radius increases the oscillator strength increases and reaches a constant value at large \( r_0 \). While the energy difference decreases with increasing dot radius, the overlapping grows. As a result, the oscillator strength is to be fixed at a constant value in large QDs. In large QD radii, the wave functions of the states, especially that of the 1s state, are localized near the center of the dot because of the attractive Coulomb potential of the impurity. And hence there is some limitation on the overlapping and the dipole matrix element has a constant value. These results are in good agreement with different studies [44].

4. Summary and Conclusion

We investigated the energy spectra, wave functions, binding energies, radial matrix elements of the ground and excited states and optical properties of hydrogenic impurity of the spherical QD with parabolic potential. Energy eigenvalues strongly depend on dot radius and parabolic potential parameters. Removal of degeneracy is observed with the increase of dot radius. Spectra of the system change drastically with the parabolic confinement. In addition, calculated results have shown that the existence of an impurity has a great effect on the energy spectra. The radial matrix elements and optical properties of the system show dependence on dot radius and parabolic confinement. We believe that our study makes an important contribution to the literature. The theoretical investigation of the optical properties in a spherical QD will lead to a better understanding of the properties of QDs. Such theoretical studies may have profound consequences for practical application of the optoelectronic devices and in optical communication.
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